



Metro

October 8, 2007

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

THROUGH: ROGER SNOBLE *RS*
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

FROM: CAROL INGE *CI*
CHIEF PLANNING OFFICER

SUBJECT: Southern California Consensus Trade Corridors Improvement Fund
(TCIF) Working Group Project List

ISSUE

The Southern California Consensus Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF) Working Group has been meeting to develop a priority list of goods movement projects (Attachment A) since the Board's last update on the group's activities in July 2007. This is a follow-up to ensure that the Board receives the list prior to its submittal for Proposition 1B funding.

BACKGROUND:

In November 2006, California voters passed Proposition 1B, a \$19.9 billion transportation bond initiative. Proposition 1B authorizes \$2 billion to the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF) with focus on funding goods movement infrastructure projects. The TCIF funding must be budgeted in the state budget by the legislature and will be programmed by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) along federally designated "trade corridors of national significance" and other corridors with high volumes of freight movement.

In March 2007, the CTC convened a statewide Working Group for TCIF which held monthly meetings through June to discuss the potential allocation process of the TCIF funding. A possible approach to allocating the funds is to distribute the funding among four regional corridors and allow each individual regional corridor to determine the allocation of funding among specific projects. The Los Angeles-Inland Empire region has been identified as one of the four regional corridors. This corridor is composed of the Counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura.

Since late June of this year, Metro staff has been working with the agency staffs of the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, Alameda Corridor East Construction Authority, San Bernardino Associated Governments, Orange County Transportation Authority, Riverside County Transportation Commission, Ventura County Transportation Commission, and the Southern California Regional Rail Authority to develop a consensus on a recommended process for allocating the \$2 billion in infrastructure funding. These entities are likely recipients of TCIF and can best identify and deliver the required program of projects within our region. The Southern California Working Group also includes representatives from Caltrans Districts 7, 8, and 12 as well as from South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), and the Southern California Association of

Governments (SCAG) who serve in an advisory capacity. Metro staff also contacted COGs regarding potential TCIF projects.

The Southern California TCIF Working Group recommendation is to allocate \$1.7 billion to the Los Angeles/Inland Empire region. This request represents 85% of the \$2 billion available statewide and is based upon the fact that 85% of the State's containerized cargo travels through the Los Angeles/Inland Empire region. Los Angeles County's request is approximately \$1.04 billion which represents 50% of the total available funding statewide. The Group prioritized projects beyond the recommended \$1.7 billion list of projects in case additional funding becomes available.

The following criteria were used to develop the project list:

- Deliverability - projects recommended will be ready to go to construction by 2012
- Matching Funds - projects must have a 1:1 non-Prop 1B bond match. Construction-related expenditures made after November 7, 2006 shall be credited toward the non-Prop 1B match.
- Performance – simultaneous and continuous improvement requires a solution set that reduces freight movement emissions, reduces freight delays, increases freight reliability and decreases the congestion impact on communities.

The recommended projects would benefit the entire state through near term TCIF investments in the following critical areas:

- 46% Congestion Mitigation – ACE Grade Separations (\$783.2 M TCIF)
- 38% Port Capacity & Efficiency Improvements – On-dock rail (\$645.5 M)
- 16% Highway/Rail Capacity Improvements (\$267.3 M)

In previous discussions with BT&H, the Southern California Consensus TCIF Working Group has clearly communicated its expectations regarding allocation of funding as well as the necessity to fund congestion mitigation projects such as the ACE grade separations simultaneously with other infrastructure projects. BT&H, in turn, has indicated that rather than allocating a certain percentage of funding to each region, they will recommend a series of high priority regional projects in support of a statewide goods movement system.

NEXT STEPS

The Secretary of Business Transportation and Housing will be releasing a list of priority projects during the week of October 8 and will convene workshops to obtain public input subsequent to the release of the list. Additionally, BT&H will be requesting approval of a timeline at the CTC's October 24th meeting. It is anticipated, at this time, that each region will have to submit its priority list by November 1, 2007. Ultimately, the CTC is charged with adopting the list and the Legislature with appropriating the funds to support the list. Since the BT&H effort has not yet been reviewed by the Legislature, it is not yet known whether the ultimate list will have enough of a consensus for Legislative appropriation.

While we await the release of BT&H's proposed TCIF list, Metro will continue to work with the Southern California Working Group to advocate for the Consensus Position and the attached project list which the Group will submit in response to a request for TCIF projects.

ATTACHMENT

ATTACHMENT "A"

Southern California Consensus TCIF Working Group Recommended TCIF List

Item #	Project Description	2007 TOTAL PROJECT COST (in millions)	Const Start (Year) ¹	TCIF Amount Request (in millions)	Total Non Prop1B Match	Cumulative TCIF Request
1	Replace Gerald Desmond Bridge	\$ 800.5	2008	\$ 250.0	\$ 550.5	
						\$ 250.0
2	ACE: Columbia Ave (BNSF & UP) Grade Separation	\$ 27.0	2008	\$ 5.5	\$ 21.5	\$ 255.5
3	ACE: Magnolia Ave (UP) Grade Separation	\$ 50.0	2008	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 280.5
4	Reconstruct US 101- Rice Avenue IC (Port Hueneme)	\$ 75.0	2008	\$ 37.5	\$ 37.5	\$ 318.0
5	I-110/SR-47 Interchange & John S. Gibson Bl. Intersection/NB I-110/SR-47 Ramp Access	\$ 39.0	2009	\$ 14.7	\$ 24.4	\$ 332.7
6	Ports Rail System	\$ 631.1	2009	\$ 200.0	\$ 431.1	\$ 532.7
7	ACE: Sunset Ave (UP) Grade Separation	\$ 26.0	2009	\$ 5.0	\$ 21.0	\$ 537.7
8	ACE: Avenue 52 (UP) Grade Separation	\$ 20.0	2009	\$ 10.0	\$ 12.0	\$ 547.7
9	ACE: Avenue 66 (UP) Grade Separation	\$ 20.0	2009	\$ 10.0	\$ 12.0	\$ 557.7
10	Washington Blvd. Widening and Reconstruction Project	\$ 32.0	2009	\$ 5.8	\$ 26.2	\$ 563.5
11	ACE: Milliken/Alhambra Line	\$ 72.0	2009	\$ 17.0	\$ 55.0	\$ 580.5
12	South Wilmington Grade Separation	\$ 53.0	2010	\$ 17.0	\$ 36.1	\$ 597.4
13	I-110 Fwy/C Street Interchange Improvement	\$ 22.0	2010	\$ 8.3	\$ 13.7	\$ 605.7
14	SR47 Port Access Expressway/Schuyler Heim Bridge Replacement	\$ 686.0	2010	\$ 158.0	\$ 528.0	\$ 763.7
15	ACE: Iowa Ave (BNSF & UP) Grade Separation	\$ 27.0	2010	\$ 13.5	\$ 17.0	\$ 777.2
16	ACE: Streeter Ave (UP) Grade Separation	\$ 35.0	2010	\$ 17.5	\$ 18.0	\$ 794.7
17	ACE-San Gabriel Valley	\$ 700.0	2010	\$ 350.0	\$ 350.0	\$ 1,144.7
18	ACE: Kraemer Blvd. Undercrossing (Placentia)	\$ 45.9	2010	\$ 23.0	\$ 23.0	\$ 1,167.7
19	ACE: Orangethorpe Avenue Overcrossing (Placentia & Anaheim)	\$ 84.0	2010	\$ 42.0	\$ 42.0	\$ 1,209.6
20	ACE: Tustin Avenue/Rose Drive Overcrossing (Placentia & Anaheim)	\$ 63.4	2010	\$ 31.7	\$ 31.7	\$ 1,241.3
21	ACE: Placentia Avenue Undercrossing (Placentia & Fullerton)	\$ 29.9	2010	\$ 14.9	\$ 14.9	\$ 1,256.3
22	Relief Siding between Lang and Ravenna Sidings on the Antelope Valley Line	\$ 3.0	2010	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.5	\$ 1,257.8
23	Relief Siding between Vincent and Lancaster Sidings on the Antelope Valley Line	\$ 3.0	2010	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.5	\$ 1,259.3

ATTACHMENT "A"

Southern California Consensus TCIF Working Group Recommended TCIF List

Item #	Project Description	2007 TOTAL PROJECT COST (in millions)	Const Start (Year) ¹	TCIF Amount Request (in millions)	Total Non Prop1B Match	Cumulative TCIF Request
24	Upgrade 7 existing sidings on the Antelope Valley Line to 40 mph	\$ 9.0	2010	\$ 4.5	\$ 4.5	\$ 1,263.8
25	ACE: State College Blvd (Fullerton)	\$ 54.6	2010	\$ 27.3	\$ 27.3	\$ 1,291.1
26	ACE: 3rd St (BNSF & UP) Grade Separation	\$ 35.0	2011	\$ 17.5	\$ 18.0	\$ 1,308.6
27	ACE: Riverside Ave (UP) Grade Separation	\$ 25.0	2011	\$ 12.5	\$ 13.0	\$ 1,321.1
28	ACE: Lakeview Avenue Overcrossing (Placentia & Anaheim)	\$ 54.5	2011	\$ 27.3	\$ 27.3	\$ 1,348.4
29	ACE/Gateway: Valley View Grade Separation	\$ 79.0	2011	\$ 26.0	\$ 53.0	\$ 1,374.4
30	ACE: Madison (BNSF) Grade Separation	\$ 25.0	2012	\$ 12.5	\$ 13.0	\$ 1,386.9
31	Add a lane on WB SR-91 between SR-55 connector & Tustin Ave Interchange	\$ 95.0	2012	\$ 47.5	\$ 47.5	\$ 1,434.4
32	I-10 Widening & Interchange Improvements (LA Co. Line to I-1215)	\$ 160.0	2012	\$ 80.0	\$ 80.0	\$ 1,514.4
33	SR-58 Realignment & Widening Project (between Hinkley & Barstow)	\$ 113.0	2012	\$ 56.0	\$ 57.0	\$ 1,570.4
34	Freight Siding on the Orange County Line	\$ 15.0	2012	\$ 7.5	\$ 7.5	\$ 1,577.9
35	ACE: Glen Helen at BNSF/UP	\$ 29.0	2012	\$ 14.5	\$ 14.5	\$ 1,592.4
36	ACE: S. Milliken at UP Los Angeles	\$ 26.0	2012	\$ 13.0	\$ 13.0	\$ 1,605.4
37	ACE: Valley at BNSF/UP	\$ 32.0	2012	\$ 16.0	\$ 16.0	\$ 1,621.4
38	ACE: Palm at BNSF/UP	\$ 27.0	2012	\$ 13.5	\$ 13.5	\$ 1,634.9
39	ACE: Vineyard at UP Alhambra	\$ 30.0	2012	\$ 15.0	\$ 15.0	\$ 1,649.9
40	ACE: Main St at BNSF/UP (to be shared with Riv Co - only SB costs shown)	\$ 14.0	2012	\$ 7.0	\$ 7.0	\$ 1,656.9
41	ACE: S. Archibald Ave at UP Alhambra	\$ 32.0	2012	\$ 16.0	\$ 16.0	\$ 1,672.9
42	March ARB/Global Cargo Port I-215/Van Buren Interchange	\$ 90.0	2012	\$ 40.0	\$ 45.0	\$ 1,712.9

60 PROJECTS (1)

GRAND TOTAL \$ 4,489.9

\$ 1,712.9 \$ 2,781.6

100.00%

38.15%

NOTE: The Group prioritized projects beyond the recommended \$1.7 billion list of projects in case additional funding becomes available.