



JULY 22, 2002

Metropolitan
Transportation
Authority

One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA
90012-2952

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**THROUGH: ROGER SNOBLE
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

**FROM: JOHN B. CATOE, JR.
DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

SUBJECT: SECURITY POLICY – REVISED ATTACHMENT B

Please find attached a revised copy of Attachment B contained in the July 18, 2002 Security Policy Board Report.

At the direction of the Board, we met with Chief Pomeroy this past Friday to discuss the security policy recommendations. As a result of that discussion, Chief Pomeroy asked that we revise Attachment B to reflect the current management's position. The attachment included in the original Board Report reflected the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) position on MTA proposals under the leadership of Chief Parks.

In receiving the March receive and file Board report, the members of the Executive Management and Audit Committee further directed staff to meet with and seek the input from, LAPD and LASD before returning to the Board with policy recommendations.

The following table reflects the meetings that have taken place since the March meeting:

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Lead Representative</u>	<u>Number of Meetings</u>
Los Angeles Police Department	Chief Parks	1
Los Angeles Police Department	Chief Pomeroy	2 + 2
Los Angeles Police Department	Commander Hansohn	3
Los Angeles Sheriff's Department	Sheriff Baca	2
Los Angeles Sheriff's Department	Captain Finkelstein	3
Pasadena Police Department	Chief Melekian	1
South Pasadena Police Department	Chief Watson	1
California Teamsters (MTA Security)	Raymond Whitmer	1

These representatives of these agencies have expressed their professional opinions on various key proposed policy initiatives, as put forth by MTA staff and a Booz-Allen & Hamilton analysis. The chart on the following pages reflect some of the comments received by the Agency representatives.

Proposal	LAPD	LASD	Pasadena PD	So. Pasadena PD*	California Teamsters (MTA Security)
Civilian Fare Enforcement	Support	Support	Support		Not Supportive- Seeks MTA Security to provide this service.
Community/Sector Policing Concept	Support - But Need to Discuss Most Efficient Implementation.	Support	Support		No Comment
Transit Oriented Policing Team Leader interface with Sector GM's	Support	Support	Support for Gold Line		No Comment
Sector MOU Concept	Support - Provided Sectors are Consistent with City/County Boundaries.	Support	Supports policing the Gold Line as a separate component of light rail.		Support with MTA Security providing the fare enforcement on Metro Rail
MTA Chief of Transit Police deployment coordination	Support	Support	Support		No Comment
Increased security presence via a mix of civilian personnel and law enforcement	Support - As Long as There is no Degradation in System Security.	Supportive, but ongoing review and discussions down the road should be included to ensure the proper ratio of Checkers to Deputies	No comment - Awaiting law enforcement staffing recommendation for Gold Line		Seeks the mix to include an increased allocation of MTA security personnel
** Armed MTA security guards providing the fare enforcement function	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Supports the civilian fare enforcement concept		Support

* South Pasadena did not comment in detail on the various proposals. South Pasadena P.D. is a very small police department; therefore, they are unsure about bidding on the Pasadena Gold Line security.

** This option is no longer under consideration