

ADVANCED TRANSIT VEHICLE CONSORTIUM

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
470 Bauchet Street, MS 30-2-1
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Board of Directors:

Pam O'Connor, Chair
Metro Board Member and City
Council Member, Santa Monica

Michael D. Antonovich
Metro Board Member and
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County and
Governing Board
S.C.A.Q.M.D

Dr. William Burke
Chair, South Coast Air Quality
Management District

John Fasana
Metro Board Member and
Councilmember City of Duarte

Eric Garcetti
Mayor
City of Los Angeles

Alternates:

Michael Bohlke
Transportation Deputy,
City of Santa Monica

Michael Cano
Deputy
Fifth District
Los Angeles County

Dr. Joseph Lyou
South Coast Air Quality
Management District

Borja Leon
Deputy Mayor
City of Los Angeles

President:

Richard Hunt
General Manager
Los Angeles Metro

Executive Vice President:

John Drayton
Director, Vehicle Technology
Los Angeles Metro

Chief Financial Officer:

Josie Nicasio
Controller
Los Angeles Metro

DECEMBER 4, 2014

SUBJECT: SIX (6) HYBRID BUSES CONVERSIONS TO SUPER LOW EMISSION (SLEB) TRANSIT BUSES

ACTION: APPROVE CONTRACT AWARD RECOMMENDATION FOR SLEB TRANSIT BUSES CONVERSIONS TO BYD MOTORS, INC.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Award Contract No. OP33203278, to BYD Motors, Inc. (BYD), Los Angeles, CA, for the conversion of six (6) Metro hybrid buses to SLEB/ZEB standards for a firm fixed price contract value of \$3,909,772.51, including tax and delivery.
2. Authorize ATVC staff to negotiate and execute with BYD future Contract Modifications to Contract No. OP33203278 for an amount not to exceed \$391,000 (10%) of the total contract value.
3. Authorize Contract Modification Authority (CMA) from \$25,000 to \$100,000 per change order.

ISSUE:

In June 2013, the Metro Board directed ATVC staff to initiate a new RFP for the conversion of six existing Metro gasoline electric hybrid buses to Super Low Emission Bus standards.

ATVC's award approval of RFP OP33203278 will allow staff to authorize BYD to proceed with the Work to modify the vehicle fuel and propulsion systems and other key sub-systems for six (6) Metro hybrid buses and to lower NOx emission levels by 75% - 90% in the retrofitted configuration.

DISCUSSION

ATVC purchased six gasoline electric hybrid buses in 2008 supplied by NABI, Inc. These buses used a gasoline electric hybrid propulsion system provided by the former ISE Inc., of Poway, CA. ISE went bankrupt and discontinued all operations in 2010, and ATVC and Metro have been unable to support daily operation of these hybrid vehicles since that time.

ATVC staff considered various potential technologies currently in the market that may be available and applicable for this conversion which include hybrid electric propulsion systems, CNG micro turbines, fuel cell and battery electric subsystems, and other low emission propulsion system components.

There are firms that currently have the specialized capabilities in bus system integration, and who also have the specialized expertise needed to return these buses to a fully operational condition. Converting these buses to an upgraded "Super Low Emission" (SLEB) configuration was consistent with the intent of the original direction from Metro's Board, but the proposals for SLEB configuration proved to be more costly than converting these buses to a Zero Emission (ZE) configuration.

BYD's proposed engineering/technological approach for the conversion will utilize components and subsystems that have already been proven in heavy duty bus operations. The proposed major components have been built in mass production quantities and used in buses that are currently in transit service and have accumulated over 30 million passenger miles.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

There is no anticipated safety impact for converting the six (6) hybrid vehicles to Zero Emissions Bus (ZEB) electric buses technology. In general, BYD's conversion approach will utilize components and sub systems that have been proven in heavy duty applications and have been mass produced and used in buses currently in transit service.

Zero emission buses are expected to use high voltage electrical systems. While these systems are isolated from operators and passenger compartments, maintenance personnel will need additional specialized training to ensure that they are prepared to maintain these higher voltage propulsion systems.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Total LOP funding of \$30 million is included in the Vehicle Technology Cost Center (3320) in project 201071. For FY 16, there is \$3.5 million in funding programmed to cover expenses for conversion of these six hybrid buses. Because this is a multi-year contract, the ATVC President and the Cost Center Manager will be responsible for ensuring that future year funding is programmed.

Impact to Budget

A. Source of funds: Prop C 40%; TDA Article 4; Measure R 35% (bus capital); SLPP Prop 1B; Prop 1B PTMISEA; CMAQ; State of Good Repair (Refer to Attachment B, Funding Plan).

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Staff considered continuing to not operate these hybrid vehicles in their original configuration. This is not recommended; in the two years of active operation, these buses proved to be unreliable and unsuitable for daily revenue service. Staff considered converting some of these six buses into a Super Low Emission (SLEB) configuration using micro-turbines. The two SLEB approaches were considered but not recommended because it was determined that these options had higher costs and carried more technical risk than BYD's zero emission (ZE) proposal.


NEXT STEPS

If the Metro Board ratifies the ATVC's award of Contract OP33203278 for the conversion of the six (6) inoperable gasoline hybrid buses, ATVC staff will execute the Contract and issue a notice to proceed.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Procurement Summary
Attachment B: Proposed LOP Budget
Attachment C: June 2013 Board Motion

Prepared by: John Drayton, Executive Vice President, ATVC



Richard Hunt
President
Advanced Transit Vehicle Consortium

Cc: Art T. Leahy, CEO
Lindy Lee, Deputy CEO
Bill Foster, Interim COO

PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

SIX GASOLINE ELECTRIC HYBRID BUS CONVERSIONS/OP33203278

1.	Contract Number: OP33203278	
2.	Recommended Vendor: BYD Motors, Inc.	
3.	Type of Procurement (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> IFB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RFP <input type="checkbox"/> RFP-A&E <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Competitive <input type="checkbox"/> Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	
4.	Procurement Dates:	
	A. Issued: April 15, 2014	
	B. Advertised/Publicized: April 16, 2014	
	C. Pre-proposal/Pre-Bid Conference: April 30, 2014	
	D. Proposals/Bids Due: June 26, 2014	
	E. Pre-Qualification Completed: October 23, 2014	
	F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: October 18, 2014	
	G. Protest Period End Date: (15 Calendar Days after Notification of Intent to Award) December 16, 2014	
5.	Solicitations Picked up/Downloaded: 40	Bids/Proposals Received: 3
6.	Contract Administrator: Elizabeth Hernandez	Telephone Number: (213) 922-7334
7.	Project Manager: Phil Rabottini	Telephone Number: (213) 922-5871

A. Procurement Background

This Board Action is for a "Best Value" Request for Proposal (RFP) solicitation issued for a Contractor to convert six existing Metro gasoline electric hybrid buses to Super Low Emission Bus standards. The RFP was issued on April 2014 in accordance with Metro's Acquisition Policy, and the contract type is Firm Fixed Price.

Twelve amendments were issued during the solicitation phase of this RFP.

- Amendment Nos. 1, 2 and 3 issued on April 21, 23, and 28, 2014, respectively, provided responses to questions raised by prospective proposers.
- Amendment No.4 issued on May 1, 2014, provided documents relating to the Pre-Proposal Conference.
- Amendment No.5 issued on May 2, 2014, provided information regarding the schedule for availability of the hybrid buses for inspection to the proposers.
- Amendment No.6 issued on May 6, 2014, provided further responses asked by the proposers after the hybrid bus inspections.

- Amendment No.7 issued on May 8, 2014, provided 1) Answers to questions raised by potential proposers; 2) Revised Attachment 1 – Pricing Forms and 3) Service data and information for the buses for informational purposes only.
- Amendment No. 8 dated May 28, 2014, extended the submittal due date from June 3, 2014 to June 12, 2014.
- Amendment No. 9, dated June 3, 2014, revised Submittal Requirements with regard to Proposer's Anti-Drug and Alcohol Abuse Program, and the Revised Submittal Checklist.
- Amendment No 10, dated June 6, 2014, provided an Excel version of the Pricing forms at the request of prospective proposers.
- Amendment No. 11, dated June 12, 2014, extended the due date to June 26, 2014.
- Amendment No. 12, dated September 16, 2014 was issued to Proposers after receipt of proposals, to provide Proposers within the competitive range with the amended Volume 1 of II of the RFP to include SBE goal participation requirements.

A total of three proposals were received on the proposal due date of June 26, 2014.

B. Evaluation of Proposals/Bids

A Source Selection Committee (SSC) consisting of staff from Vehicle Technology was convened and conducted a comprehensive technical evaluation of the proposals received. The SSC also held direct interviews with each of the three responsive and responsible Proposers and performed manufacturing and engineering site surveys to fully assess the Proposers' capabilities, capacities, strengths and weaknesses.

Minimum Qualifications (Pass/Fail)

To be considered, a proposal must evidence compliance ("Pass") with the following minimum qualifications. Proposals that do not evidence compliance ("Fail") may not be considered beyond the preliminary review.

1. Proposers' firm and/or key personnel shall demonstrate past relevant experience manufacturing and/or modifying propulsion systems on medium duty or heavy duty transit vehicles (or other similar equipment) within the past four (4) years (since 2010).
2. Proposers shall demonstrate that they have access to secure and suitable facilities, tools and equipment necessary to perform the Work.

Firms that met the Minimum Qualifications were then evaluated based on the RFP Evaluation Criteria which consisted of the following Main Factors:

- Price 40%
- Technical Compliance 30%
- Past Experience and Project Management. 30%

The SSC conducted interviews and performed manufacturing site visits. The firms' project managers and key proposed team members had an opportunity to present qualifications and respond to the evaluation committee's questions. In general, each team's presentation addressed the requirements of the RFP, experience with all aspects of the required tasks, and stressed each firm's commitment to the success of the project. Also highlighted were staffing plans, manufacturing plans, schedules and perceived project issues. Each team was asked questions relative to each firm's proposed engineering/technological approach and prior experience implementing this approach, staff experience and qualifications, manufacturing capabilities and capacity, facility development, and work plan.

All of the three proposals received met the Minimum Qualifications criteria, and were evaluated and determined to be within the competitive range. The three responsive, responsible proposers deemed to be within the competitive range are listed below.

1. BYD Motors, Inc. (BYD)
2. Ebus, Inc. (Ebus); and
3. Transportation Power, Inc. (Transpower)

Qualifications Summary of Firms within the Competitive Range:

BYD Motors, Inc.

BYD was founded in 1995 and has its offices in Los Angeles, California and a manufacturing facility in Lancaster. BYD has been manufacturing its proprietary propulsion systems since 2010 and the systems include BYD Li+Fe battery, BYD in wheel traction motor rear axle, battery management system and CAN Bus. BYD proposed to provide not only parts and labor to integrate the proposed conversion package but also the engineering resources to model and qualify the entire system into the existing NABI 42 BRT buses that are being converted. BYD's head of engineering in the US, who authored BYD's proposed conversion plan, was on the team that originally designed and qualified the 42' BRT NABI buses.

BYD's electric bus uses BYD's proprietary iron phosphate batteries and propulsion systems. Since January 2011, BYD reports its electric buses have travelled over 16.8 million miles in passenger service worldwide.

Ebus, Inc.

Ebus was founded in 1998 in Downey, CA. Ebus has many years of experience in electric bus engineering and systems integration. Ebus' primary experience has been the manufacture of complete 22-foot 22-seat electric drive buses and trolleys.

In 2002, Ebus manufactured and delivered ten 22-foot electric buses (shuttles) to Santa Barbara MTD. In 2003; Ebus delivered ten 22-foot electric buses (shuttles) to City of Anaheim which operated for 7 years and were later sold to Santa Barbara MTD. In 2004, Ebus delivered five 22-foot electric buses to Indianapolis transit system. Ebus delivered five low floor 22-foot electric drive trolleys to Coral Gables, Florida. Ebus also delivered one 22-foot fuel cell bus to University of Texas, Austin and one 22-foot fuel cell bus to New Haven, CT Transit District.

Ebus proposed a Capstone Turbine Company micro-turbine C-65 for this SLEB conversion. The C65 operates on compressed natural gas, and produces 65 kW of electricity, more than enough to keep the batteries of the electric bus evenly charged when operating in typical transit service.

Ebus maintains a 60,000 foot factory building in Downey. Spare parts are distributed from Downey facility, and is their focal point for warranty and field service activities.

Transpower, Inc.

Transportation Power, Inc. (TransPower) is a California corporation located in Poway, California, and is a vehicle original equipment manufacturer registered with the U.S. Department of Transportation and licensed with the State of California. Founded in 2010 and located in Poway, California, TransPower has developed electric propulsion, battery energy storage, system integration, and vehicle control technologies. TransPower proposes to utilize the strengths of their current product line, and the perspectives and lessons learned by its employees during the conversion of dozens of different vehicle models to EV and HEV propulsion, dating back to 1996.

TransPower's approach for the conversion project is to achieve a super-low emission bus (SLEB) and 300-mile operating range utilizing a modified version of TransPower's most powerful battery-electric drive system, augmented with a Capstone microturbine that will run on compressed natural gas. The components and integration methods proposed by Transpower have not been utilized in heavy-duty transit vehicles.

The SSC's recommendation for the top ranked firm and the table for the scores are as follows:

1	FIRM	Average Score	Factor Weight	Weighted Average Score	Rank
2	BYD MOTORS, INC.				
3	Price	100.00	40.00%	40.00	
4	Technical Compliance	79.17	30.00%	23.75	
5	Past Performance and Project Management Experience	70.83	30.00%	21.25	
7	Total		100.00%	85.00	1
8	EBUS, INC.				
9	Price	74.38	40.00%	29.75	
10	Technical Compliance	66.39	30.00%	19.92	
11	Past Performance and Project Management Experience	60.56	30.00%	18.17	
12	Total		100.00%	67.84	2
13	TRANSPower, INC.				
14	Price	42.89	40.00%	17.16	
15	Technical Compliance	68.33	30.00%	20.50	
16	Past Performance and Project Management Experience	56.11	30.00%	16.83	
17	Total		100.00%	54.49	3

C. Price Analysis

The recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable based upon adequate price competition, and award to the lowest proposed price offer. Metro also performed fact finding, technical evaluation, independent cost estimate and analysis. The price is 9% higher than the Independent Cost Estimate (ICE) because the ICE did not account for the NRE and product support included in the proposed price.

The recommended awardees' price for the conversion of six hybrid vehicles is \$3.9 million or 32% lower than the next lowest offer.

<u>FIRM</u>	<u>TOTAL PRICE</u>	<u>DIFFERENCE</u>
1. BYD Motors, Inc.	\$3,909,772	—
2. Ebus	\$5,166,240	32%
3. Transpower Inc.	\$8,002,361	105%

The proposed price offers included pricing for the six (6) hybrid vehicle conversions, spares, special tools, test equipment, training, manuals, delivery and taxes and operational/technical support for base years 1 through 3 and three one-year options.

D. Background on Recommended Contractor

The recommended firm, BYD Motors, Inc. is headquartered in Los Angeles, CA. BYD also has a facility in Lancaster, California. BYD has been in business for 18 years and is a world leader in the field of battery technology and electric bus vehicles. BYD is the largest supplier of rechargeable batteries in the world, and has the largest market share for Nickel-cadmium batteries, handset Li-ion batteries, cell-phone chargers and keypads worldwide. BYD's current project includes the operation of over 200 electric buses in Shenzhen, China.

BYD's most recent bus clients include Antelope Valley Transit Authority and Stanford University.

BYD's Program Manager has extensive bus experience in the U.S. and China. He has over ten years of experience with BYD, which include being a lead design engineer and BYD America's, New Business Development Manager. He is currently BYD America's Vice President for Operations.

E. Small Business Participation

The Diversity and Economic Opportunity Department (DEOD) established a 5% Small Business Enterprise (SBE) goal for trucking opportunities in this solicitation. BYD Motors, Inc. did not make an SBE Commitment. BYD Motors, Inc. explained that the zero emission propulsion and energy storage systems are designed and manufactured by BYD Motors, and that the installation, modification, and engineering of the conversion must be completed by BYD Motors, Inc.

To meet the established SBE goal, Contractors are strongly encouraged to subcontract with small businesses; this message is also communicated in the solicitation document and is reiterated by DEOD representatives during pre-bid/proposal conferences. For outreach assistance, DEOD prepares and includes in the solicitation, a listings of available SBE subcontractors identified by North American Industry Classification Standards (NAICS) codes, for available subcontract opportunities. Contractors are directed to contact DEOD representatives for assistance with identifying small businesses interested in pursuing contracting opportunities. Metro's SBE program is race neutral. Therefore, meeting the SBE goal is neither a condition of award nor an issue of responsiveness.

F. All Subcontractors Included with Recommended Contractor's Proposal

	Subcontractor	Services Provided
1.	None	N/A

ATTACHMENT B

ZERO EMISSIONS BUS CONTRACT FUNDING/EXPENDITURE PLAN

In Thousands	FY14	FY15	FY16 +	Total	% of Total
Uses of Funds					
Acquisition	6,000,000	6,000,000	8,739,250	20,739,250	69.1%
Professional Services ¹	250,000	250,000	3,500,000	4,000,000	13.3%
Labor	300,000	300,000	300,000	900,000	3.0%
Travel	150,000	150,000	100,000	400,000	1.3%
Spare Parts ²	250,000	250,000	1,386,825	1,886,825	6.3%
Contingency			2,073,925	2,073,925	6.9%
Total Project Cost	6,950,000	6,950,000	16,100,000	\$30,000,000	100%
In Thousands	FY14	FY15	FY16 +	Total	% of Total
Sources of Funds					
Measure R 35%	6,950,000	6,950,000	16,100,000	\$30,000,000	100%
Total Project Funding	6,950,000	6,950,000	16,100,000	\$30,000,000	100%

1. Includes \$3.5 million in funding to cover estimated expenses for converting six existing gasoline hybrid buses to *super low emission configuration*.
2. Includes \$1.2 million in funding to cover estimated expenses for acquiring high voltage "Fast Charging" equipment.
3. Initial source of funds plans for Measure R 35%. Staff will apply other local, state or federal sources as they become available/ applicable to project uses.

**Metro**Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation AuthorityOne Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952213.922.2000 Tel
metro.net**SYSTEM SAFETY AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE
JUNE 20, 2013****SUBJECT: ZERO EMISSION BUSES****ACTION: RATIFY ATVC RECOMMENDATION TO AWARD CONTRACT****RECOMMENDATION**

- A. Ratify the Advanced Transit Vehicle Consortium's award of Contract No. OP33202790 to BYD Company Ltd (BYD), Los Angeles, CA, for the manufacturing and delivery of up to twenty-five Zero Emission Buses for a not-to-exceed total contract value of \$20,739,250, including tax and delivery.
- B. Establish a Life-of-Project (LOP) budget for this ATVC project in the amount of \$30,000,000 for Zero Emission Buses in CP201071 – 30 Zero Emission Buses/SLEB Buy.
- C. Approve and amend the FY14 Budget to include the addition of two dedicated FTE's to support ATVC's Zero Emission Bus project, including one electric bus engineer and one electric bus instructor.
- D. Authorize ATVC staff to initiate a new RFP for the conversion of six existing Metro gasoline electric hybrid buses to Super Low Emission Bus standards.

ISSUE

In March 2011, the Metro Board directed staff to initiate a new procurement for up to 30 "Super low emission or zero emission buses." In June 2011, the Metro Board directed that the Advanced Transit Vehicle Consortium (ATVC) take responsibility for overseeing this project, and that it would follow an RFIQ process to determine the current state of these technologies, followed by a Best Value RFP for up to 30 buses.

Metro Board ratification of the ATVC's award approval of RFP OP33202790 will allow staff to authorize BYD to proceed with an initial build and delivery of five buses for testing and evaluation purposes. Based on successful testing and evaluation of the five Zero Emission Buses, staff may then proceed with up to twenty additional buses from BYD.

DISCUSSION

Zero Emission technologies, and particularly energy storage technologies, are evolving very rapidly. It is anticipated that vehicles available even within the next 1-3 years are likely to have significantly enhanced operating characteristics, such as extended range, better integrated subsystems, and lighter weight construction. Staff anticipates reporting back to the ATVC and Metro Boards on a regular basis, and may recommend initiating new procurements for additional "Next Generation" Zero Emission and Super Low Emission Buses based on technology developments anticipated within the next 12-36 months.

ATVC purchased six gasoline electric hybrid buses in 2008. These buses use a hybrid propulsion system provided by the former ISE Inc., of Poway, CA. ISE went bankrupt and discontinued all operations in 2010, and Metro Operations has not been able to support operation of these vehicles since that time.

There are several firms that have the specialized capabilities in bus system integration, and who also have the specialized expertise needed to return these buses to a fully operational condition. Converting these buses to an upgraded "Super Low Emission" (SLEB) configuration is consistent with the intent of the original direction from Metro's Board. Staff will use a performance contract that includes incentives for firms that provides the technical and maintenance support necessary to keep this equipment in a reliable operating condition.

As part of this project, staff recommends adding two positions in the FY14 budget. The first position would be an electric bus engineer who would specialize in high voltage electrical systems and components. A second position would be an electric bus instructor. Both of these positions would be dedicated to this project, and would support both the delivery of this equipment and its release into revenue service. Once these buses are put into service, these positions would be responsible for providing training and technical support for Metro's all electric buses, as well as providing support for future all-electric bus projects that may follow this project.

The contract award to BYD is \$20,739,250. The LOP budget is \$30,000,000. The remaining \$9,260,750 will be used for the conversion of the gasoline electric hybrid buses, as well as procurement of the necessary peripheral systems and components. Staff will bring these items to the board for separate approval.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

There is no anticipated safety impact for procuring and operating new all-electric buses. In general, safety provisions found on new buses is superior in design, quality and functionality compared to the safety systems of old buses that have reached the end of their life and are being taken out of service.

Zero emission buses are expected to use high voltage electrical systems. While these systems are isolated from operators and passenger compartments, maintenance personnel will need additional training to ensure that they are prepared to maintain these high voltage systems.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The LOP requested for this report is \$30,000,000. LOP funding of \$6,092,919 is included in the FY14 Budget in Cost Center 3320 – Vehicle Technology, Project 201071 – 30 Zero Emission Bus/SLEB Buy. Since this is a multi-year project, the cost center manager, project manager, and General Manager will ensure that costs will be budgeted in future fiscal years.

Impact to Budget

The source of funds is Measure R 35%. Staff will reassess funding sources and apply other applicable fund sources as they become available.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Staff considered awarding a contract for Super Low Emission Buses (SLEB), but this is not recommended. ATVC currently has six inoperable gasoline hybrid buses that can be repowered in a SLEB configuration, and it is a better use of ATVC/Metro funding to have these existing buses converted to an SLEB configuration.

NEXT STEPS

If the Metro Board ratifies the ATVC's award of Contract OP33202790 for up to 25 ZEB vehicles, ATVC staff will execute the Contract and issue a notice to proceed. Staff will also take steps to issue a new RFP for conversion of the six gasoline electric hybrid buses to an upgraded SLEB configuration.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Procurement Summary

Attachment B: Proposed LOP Budget


Attachment C: June 2011 Super Low / Zero Emission Bus Program Board Report

Prepared by: John Drayton, Executive Vice President, ATVC

Questions: Michelle Stewart, Assistant Administrative Analyst, Operations
(213) 922-7270

Michelle Lopes Caldwell

Michelle Lopes Caldwell
Chief Administrative Services Officer



Frank Alejandro
Chief Operations Officer

Arthur T. Leahy
Chief Executive Officer

**PROCUREMENT SUMMARY
UP TO THIRTY ZERO EMISSIONS BUSES**

1.	Contract Number: OP33202790	
2.	Recommended Vendor:	
3.	Type of Procurement (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> IFB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RFP <input type="checkbox"/> RFP-A&E <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Competitive <input type="checkbox"/> Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	
4.	Procurement Dates:	
	A. Issued: February 9, 2012	
	B. Advertised/Publicized: February 18, 2012	
	C. Pre-proposal/Pre-Bid Conference: February 23, 2012	
	D. Proposals/Bids Due: June 22, 2013	
	E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5/23/12	
	F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: May 21, 2013	
	G. Protest Period End Date: June 19, 2013	
5.	Solicitations Picked up/Downloaded: 108	Bids/Proposals Received: 7
6.	Contract Administrator: Susan Dove	Telephone Number: (213) 922-7451
7.	Project Manager: John Drayton	Telephone Number: (213) 922-5882

A. Procurement Background

This Board action is for a "Best Value" Request for Proposal (RFP) solicitation issued to procure up to 30 new 40' low floor Zero Emission transit buses. The RFP was issued February 2012 in accordance with Metro's Acquisition Policy, and the contract type is Unit Rate, Firm Fixed Price. Twelve amendments were issued during the solicitation phase of this RFP. Three amendments were issued to proposers in the competitive range after receipt of proposals.

- Amendments No. 1 issued March 2, 2012 provided commercial terms modifications and clarifications to solicitation documents.
- Amendment No.2 issued March 14, 2012 provided commercial terms modifications to the solicitation documents, including labor values and provided answers to questions posed by interested parties.
- Amendment No.3 issued March 29, 2012 provided answers to questions posed by interested parties, and modified RFP technical specification requirements and commercial terms.

- Amendment No.4 issued April 20, 2012 provided commercial terms modifications to solicitation documents including a revision to the proposal due date.
- Amendment No.5 issued April 27, 2012 provided answers to questions posed by interested parties, and modified RFP technical specification requirements and commercial terms.
- Amendment No. 6 dated May 11, 2012 provided modifications to the RFP pricing forms.
- Amendment No. 7, dated May 18, 2012, provided clarifications and answers to questions and provided changes in RFP key procurement dates.
- Amendment No 8, dated May 29, 2012, revised the proposal due date.
- Amendment No. 9, dated June 12, 2012, provided a sample invoice form.
- Amendment No. 10, dated June 13, 2012 provided modifications to RFP key dates, and commercial terms.
- Amendment No. 11, dated January 30, 2013 provided modifications to RFP key dates, and a modified RFP technical specification requirements and commercial terms.
- Amendment No. 12, dated February 5, 2013 provided modifications to RFP key dates, and commercial terms.
- Amendment No. 13, dated April 30, 2013 invited proposers in the competitive range to submit best and final offers.
- Amendment No. 14 dated May 2, 2013 provided clarifications to Proposers in regards to the pricing sheet.
- Amendment No. 15, dated May 3, 2013, provided clarifications to proposers.

A total of seven proposals were received on June 22, 2012. Five proposals were received in response to the Zero Emissions Bus technical specification. One proposal was received in response to the Super Low Emissions Bus technical specification. An alternate proposal was also received, but it was not evaluated as part of this procurement.

B. Evaluation of Proposals/Bids

Three firms did not meet the minimum qualifications of the solicitation and were not considered for further evaluation. One firm did not provide the required documentation to thoroughly evaluate the proposal; therefore its proposal was deemed non-responsive. A total of three proposers were evaluated and determined to be in the competitive range. A Source Selection Committee (SSC) consisting of staff from Vehicle Technology, Bus Operations Maintenance, Service Planning was convened and conducted a comprehensive technical evaluation of the proposals received. The SSC also consisted of experts in the area of advanced transit technologies and project management. The SSC also held direct interviews with each responsive and responsible Proposer and performed manufacturing and engineering site surveys to fully assess the Proposers' capabilities, capacities, strengths and weaknesses.

The proposals were evaluated based on the following evaluation criteria and weights:

Evaluation Criteria	Weight
Life Cycle Costs	30%
Technical Compliance	25%
Local Jobs	20%
Project Management Experience	15%
Experience and Past Performance	10%

The evaluation criteria are appropriate and consistent with criteria developed for similar bus procurements. Several factors were considered when developing these weights, giving the greatest importance to Life Cycle Costs and Technical Compliance to ensure that the proposed vehicle meets all of the functional and performance requirements described in the technical specification, while providing the best overall value to Metro. Since this project is state and locally funded, it was determined that including an evaluation criterion for Local Jobs Employment was appropriate.

The SSC conducted interviews and performed manufacturing site visits. The firms' project managers and key proposed team members had an opportunity to present qualifications and respond to the evaluation committee's questions. In general, each team's presentation addressed the requirements of the RFP, experience with all aspects of the required tasks, and stressed each firm's commitment to the success of the project. Also highlighted were staffing plans, manufacturing plans, schedules and perceived project issues. Each team was asked questions relative to each firm's proposed staff, capability, manufacturing capacity, facility development, new job training, job creation and previous experience implementing its proposed manufacturing plan. The three responsive, responsible proposers deemed to be within the competitive range are listed below.

Qualifications Summary of Firms within the Competitive Range

BYD

BYD was founded in 1995. BYD is the largest supplier of rechargeable batteries in the world and has the largest market share for Nickel-cadmium batteries, handset Li-ion batteries, cell-phone chargers and keypads worldwide. It is the largest supplier of rechargeable batteries, and it also has the second largest market share for cell-phone shells in the world. BYD's most recent transit bus clients include Hertz USA, Long Beach Transit and Stanford University.

BYD was the highest rated Best Value Proposer. BYD's proposal strengths include: lowest capital price, and the highest rating in technical capability, past performance, project management and local job commitment.