

# MINUTES



LOS ANGELES COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 403 West 8th St., Suite 500, Los Angeles, CA 90014 (213) 626-0370

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

July 15, 1987

The regular Commission meeting was called to order by Chairman Bradley at 1:45 p.m. in the Board Room of the Department of Water and Power.

Members in attendance were:

Mayor Tom Bradley  
Councilwoman Jacki Bacharach  
Councilwoman Christine E. Reed  
Councilman Michael Woo  
Marcia Mednick  
Blake Sanborn, alternate for Supervisor Schabarum  
Walter King, alternate for Supervisor Hahn  
Barna Szabo, alternate for Supervisor Dana  
John La Follette, alternate for Supervisor Antonovich

Staff members present:

Rick Richmond, Executive Director  
David Kelsey, Assistant County Counsel  
Kathy Torigoe, Executive Secretary  
Vicenta Becerral, Secretary

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of June 24 meeting will be provided at the next meeting.

### CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS

Chairman Bradley indicated that following a meeting with representatives of this Commission and staff, RTD and other parties interested in AB 18, the bill to merge RTD and LACTC carried by Assemblyman Richard Katz, he was asked if he would call in response to our concerns about a variety of amendments that have been added in recent weeks and the impact the amendments would have on that bill if it became law.

He was asked to call President Pro-Tem David Roberti to see if he could be persuaded to put the bill over during their recess and give us a chance to meet with the members of the legislature and try to resolve the issues that we saw in the bill. Chairman Bradley made the call and Senator Roberti indicated that, with some reluctance, he would support holding the bill over for the interim period of about a month. That has been done and Mr. Katz is aware of it.

The Mayor's office has had some discussions with Mr. Katz. He was concerned that if we got the changes that we asked for, we would ask for a delay in the start-up date of the bill. Mr. Bradley indicated that as far as he was concerned, we had a commitment and if they let us start immediately upon the signing of that bill, he thought that we could move through the transition activities and meet the deadline of the bill.

PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING CONDEMNATION OF THE FOLLOWING PARCEL:

Chairman Bradley indicated that this is a continuation of the public hearing on the Finding of Necessity for the acquisition of the Roosevelt Building located at 727 W. 7th Street.

The meeting was turned over to Mr. Richmond, Executive Director, who indicated that this matter was continued from the June 24th LACTC meeting.

Mr. Richmond and others indicating a desire to testify at the public hearing were sworn in by Mr. Kelsey.

Hearing Re: Roosevelt Building located at 727 W. 7th Street, Los Angeles

Mr. Rick Richmond:

Mr. Richmond stated that the scope of the hearing is to cover the findings the Commission needs to make in order to adopt a Resolution of Necessity.

Mr. Richmond presented the staff report to the Commission which addresses the findings which the Commission must make under the Eminent Domain Law in order to adopt a Resolution of Necessity to condemn the property. The staff report was entered into the record of the hearing as evidence.

Mr. Martin Washton and Ms. Amy Forbes of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, representing the owner of the Roosevelt Building, requested to testify before the Commission.

Mr. Martin Washton:

Mr. Washton stated that the Roosevelt Building is owned by the General Motors Employee Pension Fund and that Citibank is the nominal owner as trustee for the pension fund.

Mr. Washton stated that a request for notice regarding the environmental studies was made in an open session with RTD and LACTC in April, 1986.

Mr. Washton further stated that Citibank, trustee for the General Motors Employee Pension Fund, was the only concerned property owner who did not receive written notice. Thereby they were not in a position until this year to contest the right of the LACTC to adopt a negative declaration as the vehicle for conducting the environmental study necessary to approve the project.

Mr. Washton continued in saying that structural engineers for the Roosevelt Building and for the LACTC did meet on or about July 6th and several issues were raised, none of which were adequately answered.

Mr. Washton commented on the Executive Director's report to the Commission in response to Ms. Forbes' letter, and stated that the only environmental discussion was the Environmental Impact Report which was done for the location of the entire system as opposed to the location of the specific station site. Mr. Washton continued in stating that the Roosevelt Building is eligible for historical designation and eligible for the national register.

Mr. Washton further stated that seismic studies that have been done preliminarily indicate that the diaphragm upon which the building is constructed is going to be partially removed and eleven critical support beams which provide wind bracing will also be removed and a new structure will be superimposed. Mr. Washton requested that a structural environmental impact report be performed.

Mr. Washton incorporated all of the specific points that were raised in Mrs. Forbes' letter that was earlier sent to the Commission.

Questions were asked of Mr. Washton by the Commissioners.

Mr. Art Harvey:

Mr. Harvey, structural engineer for the Roosevelt Building owners, stated he reviewed the plans furnished by RTD and had noticed that there was no reference to any seismic studies having been made on the project. Mr. Harvey continued in saying that they are removing eleven beams for the light rail station from the building and he could see no signs for replacement of the loss of the beams that are aiding the bracing of the building.

Questions were asked of Mr. Harvey by the Commissioners.

Mr. Paul Taylor, Assistant Executive Director, introduced Mr. Howard Chaliff, Project Director for Metro Rail Transit Consultants who are the designers of this station as a joint effort between LACTC and SCRTD.

Mr. Howard Chaliff:

Mr. Chaliff stated that as an integral part of the design for the Metro Rail's 7th and Flower Station, a station entrance was located in the Central Bank Building adjacent to the Roosevelt Building on 7th Street. When the decision was made to integrate the LACTC Light Rail station with the Metro Rail station at 7th and Flower, it was decided that an entrance in the southwest portion of the Roosevelt Building was the most appropriate location.

Mr. Chaliff continued in saying that the impact was the removal of the floor slabs and replacement with a self-supporting structural element poured contiguous and tight against the existing slabs and beams to accommodate the escalator, stairwell and elevator to serve the light rail facility. The design was performed by the well known engineering company of Gannett Fleming.

Mr. Chaliff further stated that the design for the removal of the existing floor slabs and the design for the replacement structure are in complete conformance with the design of the existing structure, since we used the same design loading. We have also followed the latest City of Los Angeles' building codes and have applied conservative design parameters in analyzing new structural members.

Mr. Chaliff continued in stating that it is MRTC's professional opinion that the Roosevelt Building with its proposed design modifications will be as structurally stable as was originally designed.

Mr. Taylor introduced the LACTC's attorney, Arnold Simon.

Mr. Arnold Simon:

Mr. Simon, of Richards, Watson & Gershon, stated that the specific objections that have been raised by the owners regarding the requirements on the environmental aspect of the project have been answered in a document (Executive Director's response to the Amy Forbes letter) which is a part of the agenda packet.

Mr. Simon continued in stating that California statutes specify the notice that is required, and that the notice so required was actually given. It was given by publication which is specifically one of the means specified by legislature as being adequate notice. As for any request by the owners for additional information, Mr. Simon requested the owners to produce the written document now else refer to the date of such a notice, as the Commission's record indicate no written request for such notice was received. Mr. Simon continued in saying that if the owners contend that notice request was made orally, the personnel from the Commission do not recall any such request being made of the Commission. It is believed that the owners may be confusing a request made by them from RTD at a different meeting concerning a different project at a different time.

Mr. Simon further stated that it is clear from the record that these owners had actual notice of the things which they claimed they did not receive a more technical notice. The owners were aware of this project by their own statements, as early as 1986, which is more than a year ago. A number of meetings were held in the interim at which details of the project were repeatedly discussed with them. The notice of determination concerning the negative declaration was posted in January, 1987, and the owners received an offer which was dated January 30, 1987, which is six months ago.

Mr. Simon continued in saying that the owners basically have kept silent, despite participating in numerous meetings. Mr. Simon stated that he does not think that this Commission hearing on the Resolution of Necessity is the time to raise, for the first time, environmental concerns which by statute should have been raised within thirty days of when the notice of determination was posted in January, 1987.

Questions were asked of Mr. Simon by the Commissioners.

Chairman Bradley closed the public hearing and asked for a motion.

Mrs. Bacharach moved for approval of the staff's recommendation, which was seconded by Mr. King.

The Commission went into Executive Session and later reconvened.

Roll call vote on the Resolution for Finding of Necessity for the acquisition of the Roosevelt Building was taken and is as follows:

YES: Sanborn, King, Szabo, LaFollette, Woo, Bacharach,  
Reed, Mednick, Bradley

NO: None

Motion passed.

#### FINANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

Mr. Sanborn reported on the FRC meeting on July 13, 1987 as follows:

##### Approval of SCRTD Capital Transition Plan for FY 1987 Through FY 1990

The FRC deferred action on this item, except to recommend the release of FY 1987 capital funds to the SCRTD for previously-approved projects.

The FRC expressed concern that the plan showed substantial operating deficits for FY 1989 and FY 1990, and that the RTD proposes to balance the capital budget by using TDA funds which could otherwise be used to reduce operating deficits.

The committee also requested that the staff return with additional information on excess property being held by RTD, as well as analysis of RTD capital projects which have been funded but not implemented.

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval, seconded by Mrs. Reed. Hearing no objection, motion was carried.

##### Selection of Consultants to Perform FY 1986-87 Proposition A Local Return Audits

The FRC approved the staff recommendation to select the firm of Simpson & Simpson/Quezado Navarro & Company to perform the FY 1986-87 Proposition A Local Return and UMTA Section 15 audits.

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval, seconded by Mr. King. Hearing no objection, motion was carried.

FY 1987-88 TDA Article 8 Unmet Transit Needs: Findings and Recommendations of the Hearing Boards

The FRC approved the staff recommendation with changes made (see bullets) as follows:

That FY 1987-88 TDA Article 8 funds allocated to the cities of Avalon, Lancaster, and Palmdale, and to the County of Los Angeles be released to those jurisdictions for streets and roads purposes if so desired by them, as long as the recommendations of the Hearing Board are implemented by them.

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval which was seconded by Mrs. Reed. Hearing no objection, motion was carried.

Authorization for Executive Director to Execute Section 13(c) Agreement on the Bus Service Continuation Project

The FRC recommended that the Executive Director be authorized to execute a Section 13(c) Agreement for the Bus Service Continuation Project (CA-03-0322).

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval which was seconded by Mrs. Reed. Hearing no objection, motion was carried.

Purchase of Personal Computers

The FRC approved the recommendation that the equipment be purchased from the four vendors who offered the lowest prices on various components of the overall bid package.

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval; seconded by Mrs. Reed. Hearing no objection, motion was carried.

Mr. Sanborn also reported that the committee heard a presentation on the adoption of the 1987 State Highway TIP.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE

Reorganization Legislation

The committee recommended that the Commission reaffirm its position of oppose unless amended to AB 18, the measure to reorganize transportation entities in Los Angeles county, and adopt an oppose unless amended position on SB 2, the bill to effect the transition to the new organization delineated in AB 18.

Mrs. Reed moved for approval of the IRC recommendation, seconded by Mr. King. Without objection, the motion was carried.

) The Commission directed staff to organize a meeting on the reorganization and transition bills with other transportation agencies, government entities, and interested organizations prior to August 17th.

#### State Legislation

SB 250, a measure by Senator Dan McCorquodale (D-San Jose), would create the State Insurance Fund in order to establish a liability insurance program for non-profit entities. The IRC recommended that the Commission support SB 250 if the bill is amended to:

1. Specify whether public agencies would have any liabilities for premiums or losses;
2. Require the review of the financial solvency of the non-profit agencies prior to those entities being allowed to participate in the program;
3. Specifically delineate that the transportation elements of non-profit entities are eligible under this program.

Mrs. Reed moved approval of the committee recommendation, seconded by Mr. King. Without objection, the motion was carried.

) The LACTC policy statement on state transportation financing was held over until the August 12th meeting.

SB 140, a measure by Senator Wadie Deddeh (D-Chula Vista), to establish a biennial transportation funding plan for state highway and public transportation programs, was reconsidered by the committee. The IRC recommended that the Commission support SB 140, if the bill is amended to delete a provision limiting the state's responsibility for the construction of additional lanes to highways with six lanes or less. The committee also recommended to support, in concept, two provisions being drafted by regional transportation planning agencies.

Mrs. Reed moved approval of the IRC recommendation, seconded by Mr. King. Without objection, the motion was approved.

#### Federal

The IRC recommended authorization for Irving Smith, the LACTC federal government consultant, to represent the Commission as a member of the Coalition to Extend Safe Harbor Leasing. Membership in the Coalition is \$2,000 a month. The committee recommended that the membership be for a six-month period, after which the effectiveness of the coalition would be evaluated.

) Mrs. Reed moved approval of the IRC recommendation, seconded by Mr. King. The motion carried with one objection (Mr. Sanborn).

### Legislative Matrix

The Legislative Matrix, as amended, was included in the agenda as an information item.

It was noted that SB 498 (Green) and SB 826 (Mello) have been combined into one measure. Without objection, the Commission adopted a monitor on this amended version of SB 826.

### RAIL CONSTRUCTION COMMITTEE

Mrs. Bacharach reported that Mr. Allan Jonas has submitted his resignation from the Rail Construction Committee. Mr. Jonas was one of the citizen members of the committee and had made many valuable contributions to the committee's rail construction efforts.

Mrs. Bacharach reported on the RCC's meeting of July 8, as follows:

#### Technical and Administrative Services for Light Rail Vehicle Procurement

The RCC recommended that the Commission:

1. Authorize removal from the contract with Southern California Rail Consultants any further services related to the provision follow-on engineering, inspection and testing services, and contract administration to support the procurement of light rail vehicle.
2. Award a contract to LTK Engineering Services in the amount of \$3,991,374 to provide the services described above directly to the Commission.

Mrs. Bacharach moved approval which was seconded by Mr. King. Hearing no objection, motion was carried.

#### Century/El Segundo Vehicles - Third Rail Capability for Light Rail Vehicle Fleet

The RCC recommended that the Commission authorize the Executive Director to negotiate a change order with Sumitomo to incorporate third-rail current collection capability into the 54-car order of light rail vehicles.

Since the meeting, since staff had recommended against pursuing this line, Mrs. Bacharach asked staff to report on what the ramifications would be on the vehicle of the redesign. The RCC members received a memo from the consultant that certain redesign would be required and there would be severe ramifications to the vehicle. Therefore, Mrs. Bacharach met with staff and discussed other ways to achieve third rail capability on the Century Freeway without changing the vehicle order.

Mrs. Bacharach offered a substitute motion which had been approved informally by the members who attended the July 8 meeting. The substitute motion states that the Long Beach-Los Angeles cars go through unimpeded, purchase the 54 cars, and not direct any change orders with Sumitomo. The committee will direct the consultants, who will be working on the Century/El Segundo line, to investigate for adoption the feasibility of automated vehicle technology and third rail into the design of the Century/El Segundo. We are now beginning the design of Century/El Segundo with brand new consultants who can look now at third rail and automated vehicle technology. The RCC will also ask staff to identify how this direction affects the rest of the Coast corridor.

For purposes of order, Mrs. Bacharach moved to approve the committee's recommendation to change the vehicle order. There was no second to the motion on the committee's recommendation.

Mrs. Bacharach moved approval of the second recommendation on the floor, which is to let this vehicle order go through and to direct staff to include in Century/El Segundo project a work study of feasibility for automated vehicle technology and third rail.

Motion was seconded by Mr. King.

Mrs. Bacharach clarified her motion by indicating that the recommendation is to not make the decision today but ask staff to design the line to retain space for the third rail on the Century, to look at the rest of the Coast corridor to see how grade-separated it is, and to look at what automated technology might be available, and return to RCC with a technology study. Discussion followed further clarifying the recommendation on the floor.

Mr. James Washington (public comments) commented. He encouraged the commission not to buy the third rail shoe but keep the system simple and run it through the Wilshire tunnel and extend service out Exposition Blvd. to Santa Monica.

Mr. Bryan Allen (public comments) commented that he objected to the committee's recommendation which would delay opening the Long Beach-Los Angeles service by 7-12 months, which has been remedied by the revised recommendation.

By voice vote, the motion was unanimously passed.

#### Interim Expenditure Authorization For Professional Services Contract

The RCC recommended the Commission establish these interim expenditure authorizations for FY 87-88:

o Southern California Rail Consultants	\$6,500,000*
o Transit Construction Management	4,000,000
o Deloitte/Kellogg Joint Venture	450,000
o Transit Insurance Administrators	300,000
o Richards, Watson & Gerhson	400,000

- \* Includes interim authorization for LTK Engineering Services, proposed for separate contract commencing in FY 87-88.

Motion was made by Mrs. Bacharach, seconded by Mr. King to approve the interim expenditure authorizations for Southern California Rail Consultants and Transit Construction Management. Motion was carried with one abstention by Mrs. Reed.

Motion was made by Mrs. Bacharach, seconded by Mr. King to approve the interim expenditure authorizations for Deloitte/Kellogg Joint Venture; Transit Insurance Administrators; and Richards, Watson & Gershon.

Hearing no objection, motion was carried.

#### Acquisition of Parcel AS 141

The RCC asked for a closed session to consider acquisition of Parcel AS 141.

### RAPID TRANSIT COMMITTEE

#### Study of Rail Transit Into East Los Angeles

The committee recommended the Commission take the following actions relative to studying a Metro Rail extension(s) into East Los Angeles:

1. Authorize the Executive Director to initiate planning studies for the eastern Metro Rail extension following Urban Mass Transportation Administration guidelines. These studies will include conceptual engineering of alignments through East Los Angeles/Boyle Heights. The committee wants to point out that any future detailed planning or construction is totally dependent on federal funding, which is many years in the future, and under federal rules, will require that this extension be compared with other possible extensions of Metro Rail serving West Los Angeles.
2. Approve, from Proposition A rail funds, \$450,000 for this study (which includes a \$50,000 contingency).
3. Amend FY 87-88 operating budget to include the \$450,000.

Mrs. Bacharach moved for approval, seconded by Mr. King.

Mr. Allen (public comments) submitted 10 hypothetical scenarios for East L.A. He objected to committee using money to study heavy rapid transit extension into East Los Angeles, and asked for an explanation as to why an amendment to motion can not say "rail transit extension" into East L.A. instead of "Metro Rail".

In response to Mr. Allen's request for an explanation, Mrs. Reed commented that there is a member of Congress who is intensively interested in the motion being worded as is -- Metro Rail.

Mrs. Bacharach further clarified the Commission's study on Lincoln Heights area and other sites Congressman Royball had requested to be studied as well. Further discussion was presented by Mr. Richmond on subsequent alternative analysis to be initiated.

Motion was approved by voice vote.

### San Fernando Valley Study

#### a. Contract Amendment

The committee requested the Commission amend the contract with Gruen Associates for environmental/engineering services related to the San Fernando Valley rail transit as follows:

1. Increase the budget of the contract by \$125,000 to cover the cost of mapping and traffic counts;
2. Establish a contingency of \$50,000 for this study to cover costs of additional work which might arise on a study of this nature

The committee also requested the Commission authorize the Executive Director to contract with SCAG for patronage forecasting of the San Fernando Valley rail alternatives, estimated to cost \$100,000.

Julie Fine (public comments) stated the homeowners' concern of LACTC's attempt to move light rail into their neighborhood and suggested the coast mainline as a more appropriate route.

Motion on floor for contract amendments was approved.

### SCRTD Request for Participation in Minority Business Bonding

The committee received the request that the Commission provide 50% funding of a minority business bonding program which will be considered at the next meeting.

### "What Is Rail Transit" Film/Videotape

The committee recommended the Commission approve the issuance of a Request for Proposal for the development and production of a film/videotape on what is rail transit.

Motion was moved, seconded and approved to issue the Request for Proposal.

RAPID TRANSIT COMMITTEEEarly Metro Rail Construction in the San Fernando Valley

Mrs. Bacharach reported that the RTC met on July 8 and discussed SB 1995 and the requirements that construction in the Valley start on Metro Rail. The committee received testimony at the meeting and Mrs. Bacharach indicated that a letter from the Studio City Residents Association was received today. The Association approached the committee and discussed the idea of a trust fund for San Fernando Valley whereby funds committed for the construction required under the law would be put into a trust fund in lieu of construction at this point.

The RTC heard testimony from the citizens and recommended that, as a prudent approach to guaranteeing the earliest possible construction on Metro Rail in the San Fernando Valley, the Commission seek necessary change in State legal requirements to permit a San Fernando Valley Rail Transit Construction Trust Fund in lieu of beginning Valley Metro Rail construction at this time. The Commission would deposit 15% of the amount of non-Federal funds expended each year on construction of the first segment of Metro Rail (MOS-1). Funds, which are expected to total \$74 million, would be held by an independent trustee and released by the trustee for Valley rail construction approved by the LACTC or, in any event, released for Valley Metro Rail construction by 1992 if Metro Rail is still being extended at that time. The Commission's willingness to fully fund the trust fund would be predicated on general compliance with those terms and conditions.

In the event that the other non-Federal funding partners in the Metro Rail project, the State and the City of Los Angeles, wish to fund immediate construction instead of a trust fund, the Commission's financial participation in such construction would be limited to 25% of the total cost (approximately \$18.5 million) and, for the time being, to construction activities likely to be useful in the next operating segment of Metro Rail (MOS-2), as described in the staff report.

Mrs. Bacharach moved approval of the RTC's recommendation, seconded by Mr. King. Mr. King reaffirmed his second by requesting the records show this is a creation of a trust fund. There were no objections to his clarification.

Discussion followed.

Chairman Bradley requested that, if this recommendation is approved by the Commission today, a letter be sent to Senator Robbins and a press release be sent to the San Fernando Valley media.

The following persons made public comments:

Polly Ward, President of the Studio City Residents Assn., asked the Commission to support the trust fund proposal.

Kurt Hunter, President of North Hollywood Residents Assn., expressed his opposition to the trust fund concept.

Chairman Bradley asked Mr. Hunter that if the condition that the trust fund would be spent only for Metro Rail, would this clarification satisfy him and others in North Hollywood. Mr. Hunder indicated that he thinks he can support that.

Without objection, that language was added to the motion.

Sheldon Walter commented that he supports the trust fund for Metro Rail and suggested that the Metro Rail be extended through the Valley.

Bryan Allen commented that he supports the committee's recommendation and suggested grade-separated rail.

Dolly Reed Wageman, Director of Studio City Residents Assn., in charge of land use and transportation, presented the Board of Directors' reasons for proposing the concept of the trust fund as a repository for monies earmarked in compliance with SB 1995.

The Chair asked for a vote on the first motion which is the committee's recommendation to create a trust fund to be spent only for Metro Rail. By voice vote, all present voted in favor of the committee's recommendation.

The second motion is that in the event there is construction, the LACTC's financial participation will be 25% of the total cost and limited to construction activities likely to be useful in the next operating segment of Metro Rail (MOS-2). Also, in the event that this proceeds, we will indicate the Commission's approval of RTD's pending application for \$1.6 million of State funds for design and right-of-way acquisition.

Mr. Richmond clarified the motion because the timing may be such that the Commission needs to indicate its support for that application in advance of a decision that might come later to go into construction. This is an alternative if the first preference is not the desire of the State and the City of Los Angeles, the Commission would be offering 25% and the Commission needs to approve RTD's pending request before the California Transportation Commission to allow them to proceed in the planning stage.

Without objection, the motion was unanimously carried by voice vote.

In addition to sending a letter to Senator Robbins and sending out a press release, Mr. Richmond was asked to schedule a meeting with Senator Robbins for Mrs. Bacharach and Mr. Woo representing the LACTC along with representatives from the Studio City Residents Association.

STREETS AND HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE

Marsha Mednick reported on S & HC as follows:

State Transportation Financing Proposals

Revisions suggested will be coming to the Commission at the next meeting, via the Intergovernmental Relations Committee.

LACTC received funding for more projects than requested in the 1987 State Highway TIP. The Smart Corridor project was allocated \$4.2 million. Also, the Assembly has approved \$4 million of petroleum violation escrow account funds for this project through AB 457. This was approved unanimously by Senate Energy Committee and is now in Senate.

A series of briefings on ten year highway plan, "On The Road To Year 2000", have been held in various parts of the county. The committee is scheduling a public hearing on the highway plan to be held at the next SHC meeting, August 12th at 10:00 a.m.

The Committee viewed a video on Arizona DOT's TIME demonstration project.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Rick Richmond distributed to the Commission his response to the Board of Supervisors' request for comments on remarks made by former UMTA Administrator, Ralph Stanley, concerning Metro Rail.

The Commission will be hosting a dinner for the California Transportation Commission on August 19, 1987. This will be an opportunity to establish personal relationships with the CTC.

APTA Annual Conference

To be held in San Francisco, September 28 - October 1, 1987.

SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENT

Mrs. Bacharach reported on her meeting with the PAROS Committee at which she received a copy of their performance standard handbook.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Sheldon Walter commented on the San Fernando Valley Metro Rail ground-breaking ceremony to be held and the importance of this event. The Chair mentioned that is a Metro Rail project and Mr. Walter should communicate these comments to RTD.

NOTICE OF MEETINGS

Notice was received and filed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 4:50 p.m., the Commission adjourned into a closed session in accordance with Government Code Section 54956.8 concerning a real property transaction to authorize an offer of just compensation for property located in Long Beach:

Parcel No. R01-R21-AS141 - Southern California Edison Company

The Commission reconvened at 4:55 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

  
RICK RICHMOND  
Executive Director

RR:kyt:dk