



Los Angeles County  
Transportation  
Commission  
354 South Spring Street  
Suite 500  
Los Angeles  
California 90013  
(213) 626-0370

LACTC MINUTES

October 26, 1983

The regular Commission meeting was called to order by Chairwoman Russell at 2:15 p.m. in the Board of Supervisors' Hearing Room at the Hall of Administration.

Members in attendance were:

Councilwoman Pat Russell  
Councilwoman Jacki Bacharach  
Council Member Christine E. Reed  
Councilman Ernie Kell  
Wendell Cox  
Blake Sanborn, alternate to Supervisor Schabarum  
Robert Geoghegan, alternate to Supervisor Edelman  
Barna Szabo, alternate to Supervisor Dana  
Ted Pierce, alternate to Supervisor Antonovich  
Ray Remy, alternate to Mayor Bradley  
Heinz Heckeroth, Ex-Officio for State of California

Staff members in attendance were:

Rick Richmond, Executive Director  
Ronald Schneider, Principal Deputy County Counsel  
Kathy Torigoe, Executive Secretary  
Annette Honda, Secretary

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of October 12, 1983 were approved unanimously, as submitted.

CHAIRWOMAN'S REMARKS

None

DISCUSSION WITH MR. GROVER P. WALKER

Mr. Grover P. Walker, Executive Director of The Black Agenda, Inc., briefly explained the functions of this organization which serves as the "umbrella" group for approximately 40 black organizations. He also expressed the organization's concern regarding security on the Los Angeles-Long Beach rail line and asked that minority firms be involved in the project.

COMMITTEE REPORTSFINANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

Mr. Sanborn reported on the FRC's meeting of October 21, 1983. The committee's recommendations for Commission approval are as follows:

Exchange of Proposition A Local Return and Regional Federal-Aid Urban Funds

- o Approve, on a one-time-only basis, the exchange of \$3 million of County of Los Angeles Proposition A Local Return Funds for \$3 million of Regional Federal-Aid Urban Funds approved for SCRTD projects, contingent upon the following conditions:
  1. The FAU projects undertaken by the County must be submitted to the Commission for inclusion in the Highway TIP.
  2. The SCRTD must receive LACTC approval through the standard LACTC Proposition A project approval process for projects undertaken with Proposition A Local Return Funds received. Such project application(s), including a schedule for commitment of funds, shall be submitted within 90 days after LACTC approval of this exchange. The RTD's application(s) for the use of Proposition A Local Return Funds will be reviewed by the FRC.
  3. The SCRTD shall be responsible for submitting quarterly reports on project expenditures and for maintaining auditable records according to standard LACTC Proposition A Local Return procedures.

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval; seconded by Mrs. Reed. There was much discussion on this item. Mrs. Russell asked for a roll call vote.

Ayes: Mr. Sanborn, Mr. Geoghegan, Mr. Szabo, Mr. Pierce, Mrs. Reed, Mrs. Bacharach, Mr. Kell,

Nays: Mr. Remy, Mr. Cox, Mrs. Russell

Motion was carried.

- o Adopt a policy that Proposition A Local Return Funds may only be exchanged between local jurisdictions (i.e., the 83 cities and the County of Los Angeles) which receive Proposition A Local Return Funds.

Mr. Sanborn moved that the item be referred back to the FRC; seconded by Mr. Szabo. Mr. Remy made an amendment to the motion to request that staff also be directed to review a policy requiring that an exchange of Proposition A Local Return Funds does not bring about any net reduction in monies supporting for transit; seconded by Mr. Cox. Mr. Cox made a further amendment to require Commission review of all Proposition A Local Return Fund exchanges until the Commission adopts a formal policy on this issue; seconded by Mrs. Reed.

All those present unanimously approved Mr. Sanborn's motion as amended by Mr. Remy and Mr. Cox.

#### Federal Section 9 Funds - Intercounty Split

- o Approve the recommended formula split on Federal Section 9 funds summarized in the FRC agenda to be effective between Fiscal Years 1983-84 and 1986-87.

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval; seconded by Mrs. Reed. Hearing no objections, motion was carried.

At 2:45 p.m., Mrs. Russell asked Mrs. Reed to chair the meeting.

#### FY 1984-85 Overall Work Program Development and Criteria

- o Adopt the goals, criteria and schedule for FY 1984-85 Overall Work Program special project development as amended by the Bus Operations Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory Committee.

Mr. Sanborn moved for approval; seconded by Mr. Cox. Hearing no objections, motion was carried.

Mr. Sanborn also reported on an informational item:

#### Action Plan Update

The FRC was informed that staff was making progress on reviewing the operators' Action Plans. Particular emphasis is being placed on responses to performance audit recommendations and evaluating productivity projects.

#### INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE

Mr. Remy reported on the committee's meeting of October 26.

#### State Legislation

- o Revenue Bonding Legislation: Contact with various organizations have been made and there seems to be a lot of support and the process of gaining support is

)  
moving well. A number of questions were raised in today's IRC meeting and arrangements are being made to have legal counsel at the next IRC meeting where IRC will present formal language to the Commission. If any Commissioners have questions on the material concerning this item, contact the Executive Director.

- o SCA 37 (Foran: The Committee still feels that the Commission should keep the initial position of opposition due to lack of clarity on return to source provisions and forward to Senator Foran our suggestion that he amend the bill to say 60% of the Board of Supervisors rather than two-thirds since most boards are comprised of 5 members and 60% would be more workable.

#### Federal Legislation

- o Section 504 Federal Handicapped Transportation Regulations: The IRC received a letter from the Los Angeles County Commission on Disability, giving new information that the deadline for comments has been extended 30 days. The Committee will look at the Commission of Disability's information as well as the staff report at its next meeting and report back at the next LACTC meeting.

Mr. Remy reported that the IRC had no formal recommendations.

#### RAPID TRANSIT COMMITTEE

Mrs. Bacharach reported on the RTC's meeting of October 17, 1983.

#### Rail Transit Implementation Strategy: Stage 2

)  
The Committee heard testimony on the Rail Transit Implementation Strategy, Stage 2 recommendations. The meeting was well attended and the comments were supportive. Certain speakers asked the Committee to consider modification during any subsequent refinement of the routes.

Mrs. Bacharach explained that the Rail Transit Implementation Strategy process was started by the commission almost a year ago in order to develop a better understanding of its ability to implement the Proposition A rail system. To do this, the process has evolved into three stages. Stage 1 led to the selection of certain corridors which warranted rail service first. Stage 2 selects which of several route and mode alternatives in each Stage 1 corridor best represents that corridor. Stage 3, which follows, will take these representative routes and study them from the point of view of system operations, phasing, and financing. After this work, the Commission may decide that certain representative routes may be worth refining into specific projects.

The unanimous endorsement of the Rapid Transit Committee and of the community is as follows:

<u>Corridor</u>	<u>Representative Route (Mode)</u>
San Fernando Valley (E/W)	A3 Burbank Branch (LRT)
West Los Angeles (E/W)	B1 Wilshire Extension (HRT)
West Los Angeles (N/S)- South Bay	C2 Marina/AT&SF/Hawthorne (LRT)
Santa Ana	D2 Santa Ana Freeway (HRT)

The Commission's Citizens Advisory Committee took a position unanimously in support of these recommendations. Many letters have been received by the Committee in support of these recommendations.

Mrs. Bacharach moved for approval; seconded by Mr. Pierce.

Mrs. Reed asked for public comment on this item before the Commissioners voted.

Mr. Jack McGrath from the West Los Angeles for Metro Rail Committee, Inc., commended the staff and raised the question about the level of ridesharing forecast for the Wilshire West Extension. He suggested staff re-examine the factors used in the forecasts.

Hearing no objections, Mrs. Bacharach's motion was carried.

Mrs. Bacharach also mentioned that a letter of commendation for Dan Caufield was received from Councilman Warren Harwood of Long Beach.

She also reminded the Commissioners of two remaining design concept review public meetings: one, this evening at Jordan High School at 7:00 p.m. and two, at 11 o'clock on October 28 at the Hall of Administration, Supervisors' Hearing Room. The Committee will formally report on the public meetings after they have all been concluded.

#### SERVICE COORDINATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Cox reported on the SCC's meeting of October 21. The committee's recommendations are as follows:

##### UMTA Section 16(b)(2) Protest Resolution Procedure and Criteria

Approve the proposed UMTA Section 16(b)(2) protest resolution procedure and criteria.

Selection of At-Large Member for the Paratransit Operation Subcommittee

Approve the selection of the Southern California Edison Company as an At-Large Member to the Paratransit Operation Subcommittee (PAROS).

Section 504 Federal Handicapped Transportation Regulations

The SCC's comments have been referred to the Intergovernmental Relations Committee for coordination.

Mr. Cox moved for approval; seconded by Mrs. Bacharach. Hearing no objections, motion was carried.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR METRO RAIL RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION

Mr. Richmond indicated that LACTC staff has been working with SCRTD, Caltrans, and City of Los Angeles on the efforts to acquire Union Station. Staff recommends that the Los Angeles County Transportation Commission allocate \$3 million for land acquisition for the Metro Rail project in the vicinity of Union Station. The funding source for this allocation could consist of State Guideway funds (assuming California Transportation Commission approval), STA rapid transit set-aside funds or Proposition A rail transit funds.

Mr. Greg Roberts (public) commented that he opposes staff's recommendation.

Mr. Remy moved for approval of staff's recommendation; seconded by Mr. Cox. Hearing no objections, motion was carried.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Richmond introduced Linda Wilson who has joined the LACTC staff as the Assistant Analyst for the Highways/TSM Section of the Programming and Fiscal Analysis Division.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Greg Roberts commented on his concerns about SCRTD's bus schedules and SCRTD's utilization of subsidies funding received from the Commission.

NOTICE OF MEETINGS

Notice was received and filed.

WORKSHOP DISCUSSION ON PARATRANSIT

Workshop was canceled until the next Commission meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

The regular Commission meeting was adjourned at 3:15 p.m.

The Commissioners proceeded into an Executive Session to discuss personnel matters.

*Rick Richmond*

RICK RICHMOND  
Executive Director

RR:kyt