

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

Minutes of Regular Meeting of
the Board of Directors of the District

July 2, 1975

Upon notice duly given, the Directors of the Southern California Rapid Transit District met at a regular meeting in the District Board Room, 1060 South Broadway, Los Angeles, California, at 1:00 p.m. on July 2, 1975, at which time President Byron E. Cook called the meeting to order.

Directors George W. Brewster, Byron E. Cook, A. J. Eyraud, Jr., Donald H. Gibbs, Adelina Gregory, Thomas G. Neusom, Jay B. Price, Pete Schabarum and George Takei responded to Roll Call. Director Victor Carter was absent, and Director Baxter Ward entered the meeting at 1:15 P.M.

Also present were General Manager Jack R. Gilstrap; Assistant General Manager for Administration Jack Stubbs; Manager of Operations George W. Heinle; General Counsel Richard T. Powers, Assist. Treasurer-Auditor-Controller Frank Carr; Manager of Planning & Marketing George L. McDonald; Manager of Rapid Transit Richard Gallagher; Manager of Employee Relations John S. Wilkens; Equal Employment Opportunities Administrator Mike Olivas; Manager of Customer Relations Robert G. Williams; Secretary Richard K. Kissick, and the public.

President Cook announced that he would consider a motion to adjourn into Executive Session to discuss a labor matter. On motion of Director Eyraud, seconded and unanimously carried, the Board recessed into Executive Session at 1:05 p.m.

The Board reconvened at 1:28 p.m. following the Executive Session with all Directors except Director Victor Carter responding to Roll Call. No report of the Executive Session was made.

Consideration of Item Nos. 1 through 3 on the Consent Calendar

On motion of Director Eyraud, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolutions were adopted and/or actions taken:

The Minutes of the Regular Meeting held on June 18, 1975 were approved.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-250

RESOLVED, that the temporary route diversions caused by construction work affecting Line Nos. 2, 33, 40, 85, 92, 140, 149, 150, 154, 162 and 606, as described in report dated June 24, 1975 filed with the Secretary, be and the same are hereby ratified and approved.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-251

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 23, 1975 relating to bus stop changes, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby ratified and approved.

Report of Surface Operations Committee and Adoption of Resolutions Regarding Agenda Item Nos. 4 through 10

On motion of Director Eyraud, Chairman of the Surface Operations Committee, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolutions were adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-252

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 23, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and Requisition No. 4400-664 be and the same is hereby approved together with authorization of the Purchasing Agent to issue Requests for Proposal covering messenger service for a one-year period at an estimated cost of \$10,000; form of RFP subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-253

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 26, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and Requisition No. 5000-37 be and the same is hereby approved, and the Purchasing Agent be and he hereby is authorized to call for bids covering the purchase of two Memory Typewriters at an estimated cost of \$12,000, with specifications to be amended to provide for a performance specification which will allow all bidders, including IBM, to bid; form of bid requirements and specifications subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-254

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 23, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and Requisition No. 4400-640 be and the same is hereby approved together with authorization of the Purchasing Agent to issue Requests for Proposal covering miscellaneous printing requirements at a cost not to exceed \$50,000; form of RFP subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-255

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 24, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to execute on behalf of the District a contract with Sunset Fibre Industries, 3535 E. 14th Street, Los Angeles, the highest responsible bidder under Bid No. 6-7506-S, as indicated on the Abstract of Bid attached to these Minutes as Exhibit 1, covering the sale of the District's IBM scrap tabulating cards and related material for a one-year period commencing July 2, 1975, at an estimated annual yield of \$6,650; form of contract subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-256

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 23, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to execute on behalf of

the District a contract with R. J. Lison, P. O. Box 1429, Burbank, the lowest responsible bidder under Bid No. 6-7512, as indicated on the Abstract of Bid attached to these Minutes as Exhibit 2, covering the purchase of two (2) new Wayne Industrial Sweepers at a quoted price of \$15,531.12, including sales tax; form of contract subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-257

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 23, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to execute on behalf of the District a contract with Graybar Electric Company, Inc., 210 South Anderson, Los Angeles, the lowest responsible bidder under Bid No. 6-7510, as indicated on the Abstract of Bid attached to these Minutes as Exhibit 3, covering the purchase of miniature lamps for a one-year period commencing July 2, 1975, at a quoted price of \$21,873.74, including sales tax; form of contract subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-258

RESOLVED, that a study of the District's printing and reproduction needs, as proposed in the report dated June 27, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, is to be made in-house by District staff, with a report to be made to the Board within sixty to ninety days.

Approval of Sub-Lease Agreement with the Transit District Employee's Credit Union Covering Office Space in the District's New Headquarters Building

On motion of Director Takei, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-259

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 23, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized on behalf of the District to enter into a sub-lease agreement with the Transit District Employee's Federal Credit Union covering 1,600 sq. ft. of office space in the District's New

Headquarters Building, 425 South Main Street, Los Angeles, at an annual basic rental of \$9,600 for a term to run concurrent with the District's master lease with Pacific Southwest Realty Company; form of agreement subject to approval of the General Counsel.

Approval of Minor Route Modifications - Line Nos. 158 and 166

On motion of Director Takei, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-260

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 25, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, recommending approval of minor route modifications of Line 158 Devonshire Street-Woodman Avenue and Line 166 - Nordhoff Street-Osborne Street, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to make appropriate revision of the Official Route Descriptions adopted November 4, 1964, as amended, to include First Revised Page 158 and First Revised Page 166, to become effective as soon as possible; subject to the concurrence of the County of Los Angeles and the approval of the Consulting Engineer.

Approval of Public Liability and Property Damage Excess Insurance Coverage for One-Year Period Commencing August 1, 1975

The report dated July 1, 1975, recommending acceptance of the offer of Buffer Layer Insurance, through Alexander & Alexander, to provide coverage of the District's public liability and property damage excess insurance for the annual period commencing August 1, 1975, was reviewed by the Board.

General Counsel Powers reviewed the report which recommended the acceptance of Alternative No. 2, the offer of Buffer Layer Insurance, through Alexander & Alexander, providing the coverage at an estimated annual premium cost of \$273,000, together with a recommendation that in addition to the \$20-million coverage included in Alternative No. 2 an additional \$10-million excess be secured at an estimated annual premium of \$17,500.

Upon inquiry of Director Eyraud, Mr. Peter Hawes of Alexander & Alexander reported that two brokers have been coordinating their

efforts on the problem of the District's excess insurance coverage. He further reported he had talked to several key underwriters and that the liability insurance market has been thoroughly explored.

Upon inquiry of Mr. Gilstrap, Mr. Powers stated that in connection with quotations of this type, it is impossible to receive irrevocable quotes from the underwriters; however, that he had the assurance of Alexander & Alexander that the quotes being considered today will be pinned down to a definite commitment as soon as possible. There is, however, a possibility that the underwriters could revoke their quotes prior to the effective date of August 1.

After discussion, on motion of Director Eyraud, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-261

RESOLVED, that the report dated July 1, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to accept the offer of Buffer Layer Insurance, through Alexander & Alexander, covering the District's public liability and property damage excess insurance coverage for the annual period commencing August 1, 1975, at an estimated premium rate of \$273,000, in accordance with the provisions as outlined in the aforementioned July 1, 1975 report; form of contract subject to approval of the General Counsel;

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to secure through Alexander & Alexander an additional \$10-million excess coverage as outlined in the aforementioned July 1, 1975 report, at a premium rate in the estimated amount of \$17,500 for annual coverage commencing August 1, 1975; form of contract subject to approval of the General Counsel.

Approval of Report on Mini-Bus Program

After discussion, on motion of Director Eyraud, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-262

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 26, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is instructed to continue the Mini-Bus service over its present routing until such time as the contract for funding of this service for Fiscal Year 1976 between the District, the City of Los Angeles, the Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Los Angeles and the County of Los Angeles, has been executed, at which time the previously approved expanded Mini-Bus service may be implemented;

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the General Manager is authorized to advise Petrolane Incorporated to proceed with the installation of temporary propane fueling facilities at the District's Division No. 3 in order that Mini-Buses may be placed in service at the earliest possible date.

Director Schabarum suggested that an additional report be submitted at the next meeting of the Board regarding the status of the Mini-Bus contract.

Adoption of Policy Procedures on Bus Benches

Director Price moved approval of the policy procedures for the providing of bus benches which had been recommended at the June 18 Board meeting and carried over to today's meeting, which motion was seconded by Director Neusom.

Director Eyraud felt that adoption of the policy as proposed would be the beginning of serious problems regarding liability on the District's part, the private sectors, etcetera, and mentioned the problems being incurred in the City of Arcadia.

Director Gregory felt the cities should be able to provide the benches where necessary and RTD provide the bus service.

When asked his feelings on the matter, General Manager Gilstrap stated he felt the District should not get involved with going against the private sector which is currently providing bus benches and selling advertising on them as a tax paying private venture.

The question was called for on Director Price's motion and carried by a vote of 6-3, with Directors Eyraud, Gregory and Takei voting "No" and Director Schabarum being absent from the meeting at the time of the vote, and the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-263

RESOLVED, that when a city requests the District to provide bus benches at specific locations, the District staff will turn the requests over to the private bench companies and, if the private bench companies will not place the benches, then the District will purchase the benches with UMTA funds, if possible, and turn them over to the city under an agreement providing that the city will be responsible for maintenance and liability of the benches, with said agreement also providing that if the city leases space on benches for advertising the RTD will recover a percentage of the bench costs, and with said agreement also providing that some space on benches be allocated for RTD information showing routes and bus schedules; form of all agreements subject to approval of the General Counsel.

Approval of Agreement with the City and County of Riverside
Covering Financial Assistance for Fiscal Year 1976 and
Modification of Line No. 149 and Establishment of New
Service in the City and County of Riverside

Director Gibbs inquired about the availability of buses for service in Riverside County. Mr. Heinle responded that the staff has looked forward to the new programs which are contemplated by the District and is assured of the availability of buses to provide the Riverside service.

Director Gibbs made a motion approving the staff's recommendation concerning the provision of service in the City and County of Riverside as presented in the report dated June 26, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, subject to a provision that in the event of a shortage of buses to provide service within the District's service area the contract be terminated and the buses used in Los Angeles County, which motion was seconded by Director Ward.

After further discussion, a Roll Call vote was called for, the motion carried on a 7-2-0 vote with Directors Eyraud and Schabarum voting "No", Director Carter absent and Director Neusom out of the meeting at the time of the vote. The following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-264

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 26, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, recommending authorization of the General Manager to enter into an agreement with the City and County of Riverside providing for funding of services to be operated by the District in the City and County of Riverside be and the same hereby adopted, with the provision that if the buses are needed to provide service within Los Angeles County, the agreement will be terminated;

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to execute on behalf of the District an agreement with the City and County of Riverside providing for funding of services to be operated by the District in the City and County of Riverside during Fiscal Year 1976 with said agreement to include the aforementioned provision regarding availability of buses to operate said service; form of agreement subject to approval of the General Counsel;

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to make appropriate revision of the Official Route Descriptions Adopted November 4, 1964, as amended to include Original Page 148 and Second Revised Page 149, to become effective July 13, 1975; subject to approval of the Consulting Engineer.

Approval of Establishment of Line 143 - Eastern Avenue and
Appearance of Los Angeles City Councilman Arthur Snyder

Councilman Arthur Snyder appeared before The Board expressing support of the establishment of new Line No. 143 - Eastern Avenue, especially the provision of early morning and night service. Director Schabarum inquired regarding the number of buses to be used on this new line and if this new line is consistent with the level of service proposed for the East Los Angeles grid system

Director Schabarum was informed that the service could be provided with three buses and the level of service was on a par with the proposed East Los Angeles grid. After discussion, on motion of Director Gregory, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-265

RESOLVED, that the report dated June 25, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, recommending the establishment of new Line 143 - Eastern Avenue, be and the same is hereby adopted, and the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to make appropriate revision of the Official Route Descriptions adopted November 4, 1964, as amended, to include Original Page 143, to become effective August 17, 1975; subject to concurrence of the County of Los Angeles and approval of the Consulting Engineer.

Consideration of Final Report of Centers for Study, Inc. Regarding the Cerritos-Mid Cities Area - Carried Over to August 6, 1975 Board Meeting

Director Price moved adoption of the Final Report of Centers for Study, Inc. regarding the Cerritos-Mid Cities area study covering implementation of new and improved services as described in the report dated June 26, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary, which motion was seconded by Director Ward.

Councilman John Zimmerman of the City of Norwalk appeared before the Board to object to the routes proposed to be operated within the City of Norwalk. He stated the City had started its own bus operations in 1974 and served 1-million passengers during the past year. He felt the two systems should not duplicate services and also felt the difficulties between the two systems could be worked out.

Director Schabarum stated he thought that a meeting had been set up between members of the RTD staff and the Norwalk City Council.

Mr. Beardsley reported on the number of meetings which had been held in the City of Norwalk, including the city staff and the Chamber of Commerce.

President Cook pointed out that ten cities had already approved the routings and suggested that possibly all the cities should meet. Mr. Price felt the plan should be adopted subject to meeting with the City of Norwalk and later amending the plan.

Norwalk City Administrator Bill Kraus said that citizens of Norwalk had stated their objections to the plan--that the problems are not in the other cities, only in the City of Norwalk.

Director Neusom felt that a special Board meeting might be desirable, and Director Schabarum pointed out that there was a problem with the system operated by Norwalk and it probably boils down to just one or two bus routes and felt the City Council was entitled to a meeting with RTD staff.

Councilwoman Hazel Scotto of Downey appeared before the Board and said the City of Downey was enthusiastic about the proposed RTD plan, that there are 5,000 students attending Cerritos College, the service is needed and Downey should be included in any meeting.

Norwalk Transportation Manager John Jontig displayed large maps indicating the areas where the city objected to the proposed RTD routes.

Mr. Gilstrap inquired why anyone in Norwalk would use the RTD system at a 25-cent fare when they could ride the Norwalk system for only 10¢. Mr. Jontig said that probably no one in Norwalk would be diverted from Norwalk's system because of RTD's higher fare, but that rather than being concerned over competition, he was only trying to save RTD operating money by suggesting it not operate certain lines in Norwalk.

City Manager Gaylord Knapp of the City of Cerritos complimented the RTD staff and stated he was completely at a loss as to why Norwalk felt left out due to the number of meetings which had been held--that another meeting would not be fruitful, since if there were any changes in the plan the other cities would not be happy, and that the only alternative was to route the lines around Norwalk which would not be a solution.

Mr. Schabarum hoped that something could be reconciled within the next thirty days so that the service could go in on October 1 as planned.

Mr. Knapp stated that if the plans were changed to make Norwalk happy the Board would have nine other cities at its next meeting to object.

Mr. Gilstrap suggested that the RTD be furnished copies of the maps which Norwalk had displayed, reduced to 8-1/2 x 11, to send out to the other cities prior to any meeting. Mr. Jontig agreed that he would have them delivered to RTD within 48 hours.

Mr. Zimmerman insisted that their requested changes would not affect the services in the other cities.

Director Price amended his original motion to add that the approval of the plan be subject to the Norwalk meeting.

Director Schabarum made a substitute motion to continue the matter to the August 6 RTD Board meeting, subsequent to a meeting to be held as near to July 21 as possible with the RTD staff, the Norwalk City Council and with the other cities in the Mid-Cities area to be invited, with the staff to attempt to work out the problems after mailing the proposals to the other cities and, if possible, submit a report to the Board at its July 16 meeting, which motion was seconded and unanimously carried.

Adoption of Rapid Transit Starter Line Corridor and Related Actions

Mr. Gilstrap briefly reviewed the staff recommendations as contained in the report dated July 1, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary which recommendations have been made after reviewing the studies which the Rapid Transit Advisory Committee (RTAC) had made in order to arrive at a rapid transit starter line corridor.

There are three principal recommendations:

- . it would be appropriate for the Board of Directors to formally endorse a rapid transit starter line project extending from the San Fernando Valley, through Central Los Angeles and South Los Angeles to Long Beach;
- . that the Board of Directors join with the County Supervisors and the Mayor and City Council of the City of Los Angeles in calling upon Governor Brown to enlist his support in getting the State to commit its share of Proposition 5 funds, accruing to the Los Angeles County area, by August 1, reminding the Governor that 61% of Los Angeles County's voters expressed their desire that Proposition 5 funds be used for rapid transit; and
- . that the Board of Directors call upon the local financing agencies to meet at the policy level as soon as possible in order to reach agreement by mid-July on the corridor within which the area's initial rapid transit shall be constructed. This would enable the Board to notify Secretary Coleman of the agreement as to the corridor and the local funding therefor by August 1.

President Cook reported that the Glendale City Council had approved the corridor proposed from the San Fernando Valley through Glendale and Burbank, the Los Angeles CBD and south to the harbor area, and that the Burbank City Council is also in accord and will act soon.

Mr. Gallagher explained by means of maps the studies made by RTAC and the methods by which the committee had reduced the recommendations down to three principal corridors, with alternates within those corridors.

He stated that Alternate No. 1, from the San Fernando Valley through the Los Angeles CBD and south to the harbor area, is the only one that could be constructed with anticipated funding, but it would be more on a street car operation basis rather than rapid transit, but could be done with a subway connector in the CBD without a gap.

Mr. Price inquired that even if Alternate No. 1 were adopted could it later be modified to rapid transit if funds became available, to which Mr. Gallagher replied that it could.

Copies of the Los Angeles City Council motion adopted that morning were distributed, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary. The motion recommended in general terms a rapid transit starter line corridor that "connects and includes the San Fernando Valley, the Central Business District and the San Pedro-Long Beach harbor area."

Mr. Norm Emerson, representing Mayor Bradley, stated that the Mayor agreed with the staff recommendation as outlined in the above-mentioned July 1 report.

Director of Planning Calvin Hamilton, City of Los Angeles, stated that the Los Angeles City Council is recommending a very broad corridor that connects the San Fernando Valley, the CBD and the Long Beach area, and further action would determine alignment at a later date, and that is all the Council is approving at this point.

Mr. Rex Link, Chairman of the Transportation and Parking Committee, Wilshire Center Chamber of Commerce, stated he had attended meetings of the RTAC and felt that the starter line routing

) was not clear, and did it include Wilshire or not. He was afraid the proposal was cutting out the Hollywood and Wilshire areas, and also stated he was fearful if the line is not grade separated we would be back in the position of the old P.E. Railway days when they had to discontinue them due to slow operation. He felt the Wilshire-Hollywood routing would be the most heavily patronized.

President Cook asked Mr. Link if he had considered the cost figures with the funds available to the District, and Mr. Link said that the Wilshire-Hollywood corridor would produce more revenue.

Mr. Gallagher reported the Secretary of Transportation had said all the local agencies have to do at this time is agree on a corridor and agree to commit their Proposition 5 funds therefor, we do not have to decide on the specific alignment.

Mr. Price stated his motion would satisfy all concerned--the City, the County and others--and would meet the requirements of Secretary Coleman.

Director Takei felt the Board of Supervisor's motion was too specific, and made a substitute motion to adopt a starter line including from the San Fernando Valley through the CBD and south to the Long Beach-San Pedro harbor area, including the staff recommendations with respect to Proposition 5 funding, which motion was seconded by Director Eyraud.

Director Price presented a further substitute motion to include starting "in the vicinity of Canoga Park", which motion was seconded, unanimously carried, and the following resolution adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-266

RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Southern California Rapid Transit District by a unanimous action does hereby adopt as a rapid transit starter line in Los Angeles County a corridor beginning in the vicinity of Canoga Park in the San Fernando Valley, thence through the Los Angeles central business district and thence southerly to the Long Beach-San Pedro harbor area;

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, the City Council of the City of Los Angeles and the Mayor

) of the City of Los Angeles are hereby requested to join with this Board of Directors in urging the support of Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr. in requesting the State of California to endorse the above described corridor and to commit the Los Angeles County area's Proposition 5 funds over the current and following fiscal years to be used as necessary matching funds in connection with an application to the U. S. Department of Transportation for Federal funding assistance to construct a rapid transit starter line within the aforementioned corridor.

Mr. Gilstrap stated it was intended that the RTAC Committee continue its work, and also that the funding agencies should be brought together to attempt to resolve the starter line alignment.

Mr. Emerson stated the City of Los Angeles has been in touch with the State Transportation Board regarding Proposition 5 funding and will assist the District in obtaining State approval of the setting aside of the State funds.

Mr. Gallagher said he wanted to publicly thank each member of the RTAC Committee for their hard work and cooperation.

Mr. Schabarum asked what the next step was, and Mr. Gallagher replied that the Board of Supervisors, the City, and others, have expressed interest in getting together to resolve policy questions in order to get agreement on a specific alignment within the corridor, and meetings no doubt will start soon.

Mr. Gilstrap stated the most important part now is to get the State to pledge its Proposition 5 funds and we need the help of the Board of Supervisors, the City, and others, in order that we can write Secretary Coleman by August 1, and then hold the meetings to resolve the exact alignment. He also said he was hopeful during this period that RTAC can continue meeting in order to obtain more data which will be helpful in making the final decision on the starter line alignment.

President Cook thanked each member of the Board for concurrence in the recommendation.

Director Ward departed the meeting at 4:10 p.m.

Approval Not to Implement Summer Beach Services

Approval discussion, on motion of Director Price, seconded and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. R-75-267

WHEREAS, under Resolution No. R-75-175, adopted May 7, 1975, the General Manager was authorized to implement summer beach services (Lines 695 and 696) subject to concurrence of Los Angeles County, with said County concurrence not yet having been received and the staff has therefore recommended that said services not be implemented due to the lack of County funding, the lateness of the season and insufficient time to market the services, as outlined in the report dated June 25, 1975, a copy of which is filed with the Secretary;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the General Manager be and he hereby is authorized to delete from the Official Route Descriptions adopted November 4, 1964, as amended, Original Page 695, Pacoima-Van Nuys-Santa Monica Summer Beach Service, and Original Page 696, Montrose-Pasadena-Highland Park-Lincoln Heights Summer Beach Service, and to advise the County of Los Angeles of the District's intent to not implement said services;

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the staff is instructed to make every effort to inform the public of regular services available to the beaches.

Removed from Agenda - Revised Application to the State of California for Proposition 5 Funds

President Cook announced that Agenda Item No. 21, reading as follows, had been removed from the agenda:

"Consider revised application to the State of California for Proposition 5 Funds."

Request of the City of Huntington Park for Acquisition of Certain Right-of-Way - Carried Over

On motion of Director Price, Vice-Chairman of the Finance Committee, seconded and unanimously carried, the matter of the request of the City of Huntington Park for acquisition of the District's right-of-way in Pacific Boulevard between Florence Avenue and the northerly City boundary of the City of Huntington

