

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

RECEIVED

JUN 10 1982

LIBRARY

Minutes of Special Meeting of the
Board of Directors of the District

Thursday, May 6, 1982

Upon notice duly given, the Board of Directors of the Southern California Rapid Transit District met at a special meeting in the District Board Room, 425 South Main Street, Los Angeles, California, at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, May 6, 1982, at which time President Michael W. Lewis called the meeting to order.

Directors Present:

Jan Hall (entered 1:40 p.m.)
Michael W. Lewis
Carl Meseck
Thomas G. Neusom
Nick Patsaouras

Jay B. Price
Ruth E. Richter
Charles H. Storing
Gordana Swanson
George Takei

Director Absent:

Marvin L. Holen

Staff Present:

John A. Dyer, General Manager
Richard T. Powers, General Counsel
Samuel M. Black, Manager of Operations
George L. McDonald, Manager of Planning & Marketing
Joe B. Scatchard, Controller-Treasurer-Auditor
Jack T. Stubbs, Assistant General Manager for Administration
John S. Wilkens, Manager of Employee Relations
Richard Gallagher, Manager & Chief Engineer, Rapid Transit
David D. Dominguez, Manager of Human Relations
Robert G. Williams, Manager of Customer Relations
Helen M. Bolen, District Secretary

Also present were members of the public and the news media.

Agenda Item No. 1 - Approved Memorandum of Understanding with the Los Angeles County Transportation Commission

General Manager Dyer reported that it is necessary to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Los Angeles County Transportation Commission relative to the implementation of Proposition "A". The proposed MOU would provide the basis for providing service adjustments upward. This is essentially the same MOU that has been reviewed previously; the changes are simply the changes in date making this a 90-day interim Memorandum of Understanding expiring September 30, 1982, assuming collection and activation of Proposition "A" sales tax beginning July 1, 1982.

Director Price commented that the Board had previously expressed concern about the duplication of efforts on the part of the District and the Commission. General Manager Dyer responded that staff feels that the first 90 to 120 days is a trial period, and all the District can do is add buses to the existing route structure. There is no limitation on the discretion of the Board contained in this proposed agreement.

Director Swanson suggested that the matter be postponed until the next regular meeting of the Board. President Lewis stated that if the decision on this matter is postponed, it may impact the staff's efforts in getting ready for the implementation of the reduced fare. Mr. Dyer agreed, stating that perhaps the decision could be delayed until the May 17 meeting; however, staff must make some assumptions that the basic elements will be approved in order to proceed. Director Richter commented

Agenda Item No. 1 - Cont'd.

that this is not a new document and she questioned the proposed student fare. General Counsel Powers commented that the ballot language refers to students, which would include college students.

Director Takei stated that the General Counsel has given his assurance that there are no troublesome aspects to this document. He made a motion to approve the document, which motion was seconded by Director Richter.

Director Patsaouras commented that he would like to see the matter postponed because at least one member of the Commission has expressed reservations about the document. Director Swanson made a motion to postpone the item until the next regular meeting, which motion was seconded by Director Patsaouras. This motion was not made as a substitute motion.

President Lewis stated that the L.A.C.T.C. will meet on Wednesday, and there are some shortcomings in the document. He questioned if the municipal operators are being asked to sign the same type of document. He also stated again that this document is only for a 90-day period and it will give the District and the Commission an opportunity to look at the proposal and to put together a new document that will protect the interests of both agencies for a longer term. President Lewis indicated that he would recommend that the document be approved for 90 days and that the District proceed to negotiate with the Commission the issues that trouble the District in the next document, including the specifics of the capital projects to be funded out of Proposition "A" dollars.

Agenda Item No. 1 - Cont'd.

President Lewis suggested that a cover letter should be attached indicating the Board's concern with this document. General Manager Dyer commented that a Memorandum of Understanding is the least level of a contract that can be entered into, and some type of agreement covering the transfer of money and accountability has to be in place. This type of document is the best one for a short term. He concluded by stating that the Commission has given the District all the management freedom possible so that the District can operate the system.

Director Swanson asked what can be done now that cannot wait ten days. Mr. Dyer commented that the big thing is the changing of all the fare media; also there is considerable work to be done in getting approximately 100 additional buses on the street by July 1. He stated that he is confident it can be done in 60 days, and he believes that most of it can be done in 45 days.

Mr. Scatchard requested that the subsidy limit be increased by \$1/2 million to \$8 million per month if the document is approved today. The sales tax has deteriorated significantly during the past two weeks. Directors Takei and Richter amended their motion to reflect this change.

On a call for the question, President Lewis summarized that the original motion on the floor was for the approval of the Memorandum of Understanding as amended to include the change in the subsidy limit to \$8 million per month to be accompanied with a cover letter delineating the changes the District would like to see included in a permanent Memorandum of Understanding.

Agenda Item No. 1 - Cont'd.

President Lewis announced that several members of the audience had requested to speak. He called on Greg Roberts who chose not to speak before the Board at this time. He also called on Mr. Gerlich of ARCO. Mr. Gerlich spoke on the proposal to keep the subscription service at the current rates. He requested that consideration of the fare for this type of service be deferred until ARCO has had the opportunity to study the Memorandum of Understanding and the ballot language concerning the fare structure. General Counsel Powers informed the Board and Mr. Gerlich that subscription fares were not included in the ordinance, but the opinion of staff is that, by silence, subscription fares do not come under Section 6 of the ordinance.

Mr. William Diemer spoke concerning the use of tokens. Mr. McDonald reported that staff will propose at a later meeting that tokens be eliminated effective July 1, 1982. Mr. Bryan Allen also spoke concerning the Memorandum of Understanding.

On a roll call vote as noted below, the following resolution was adopted:

Ayes:	Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom, Patsouras, Price, Richter, Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	Holen

Agenda Item No. 1 - Cont'd.

R-82-211

RESOLVED, that the General Manager is authorized to execute a 90-day interim Memorandum of Understanding with the Los Angeles County Transportation Commission relative to implementation of Proposition "A", with the subsidy limit to be changed to \$8 million per month; form of document subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLVED FURTHER, that a cover letter is to accompany the Memorandum of Understanding delineating the District's concerns and the changes the District would like to see included in a permanent Memorandum of Understanding.

Agenda Item No. 2 - Adoption of Fare Structure and Other Actions Necessary for the Implementation of Proposition "A"

On motion of Director Meseck, seconded and carried as noted below, Agenda Items No. 2 (a) and (b) were approved and the following resolutions were adopted:

Ayes:	Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom, Patsouras, Price, Richter, Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	Holen

R-82-212

RESOLVED, that the fare structure attached to these Minutes as Exhibit I is hereby adopted effective July 1, 1982.

R-82-213

RESOLVED, that the report dated May 5, 1982, is approved, and the Board of Directors does hereby (a) reaffirm the policy of not accepting dollar bills when presented for fare payment, (b) approve the discontinuance of the sale of the Henry Huntington and MTA tokens, and (c) approve the discontinuance of the Summer Youth Pass.

Mr. Greg Roberts and Mr. Bryan Allen appeared before the Board concerning the use of transfers. Staff was asked to prepare a report for the Board delineating use of the transfer.

Agenda Item No. 3 - Approval to File UMTA Section 5 Operating Funds Claim

On motion of Director Meseck, seconded and carried as noted below, Agenda Item No. 3 was approved and the following resolutions adopted:

Ayes: Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom,
Patsaouras, Price, Richter,
Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Holen

R-82-214

Resolution authorizing the General Manager to file a claim with the Urban Mass Transportation Administration for Section 5 Operating Funds for Fiscal Year 1983 in the amount of \$39,600,000.

(Text of complete resolution filed with the Secretary.)

R-82-215

RESOLVED, that a public hearing will be held on Thursday, July 8, 1982, at 1:00 p.m. in the Board Room, Second Floor, 425 South Main Street, Los Angeles, for the purpose of receiving public comments on the District's Fiscal Year 1983 Section 5 Operating Funds Grant application.

Agenda Item No. 4 - Approved Publication of Notice of Intent

On motion of Director Takei, seconded and carried as noted below, Agenda Item No. 4 was approved and the following resolution was adopted:

Ayes: Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom,
Patsaouras, Price, Richter,
Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Holen

Agenda Item No. 4 - Cont'd.

R-82-216

RESOLVED, that the District is authorized to publish the Notice of Intent to sell revenue anticipation notes in the amount of \$39.6 million, with date, time, and place of the intended sale to be May 26, 1982, at 10:00 a.m. in the Board Room of the District.

Agenda Item No. 5 - Calling of Special Meeting

On motion of Director Takei, seconded and carried as noted below, Agenda Item No. 5 was approved and the following resolution was adopted:

Ayes:	Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom, Patsouras, Price, Richter, Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	Holen

R-82-217

RESOLVED, that a Special Meeting of the Board of Directors will be held on Wednesday, May 26, 1982, at 10:00 a.m. in the District Board Room, for the purpose of awarding the District's 1983 Revenue Anticipation Notes to the bidder(s) submitting the bid(s) which will result in the lowest net interest cost to the District, and other related actions which may be required.

Agenda Item No. 6 - Approval of Revised Travel Demand Model

On motion of Director Meseck, seconded and unanimously carried as noted below, Agenda Item No. 6 was approved and the following resolution was adopted:

Ayes:	Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom, Patsouras, Price, Richter, Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	Holen

Agenda Item No. 6 - Cont'd.

R-82-218

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 8.3(b)(4) of the Rules and Regulations, the Special Purchasing Committee met and approved Requisition No. 2-4200-34;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Requisition No. 2-4200-34 is approved and the Purchasing Agent is authorized to issue Requests for Proposals covering consulting services to update travel demand forecasting models used by the District, which project is funded under UMTA and State grants; form of RFP subject to approval of the General Counsel.

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the General Manager is authorized to evaluate the proposals received and to execute a contract with the successful proposer; form of contract subject to approval of the General Counsel.

Agenda Item No. 7 - Approval of Contract Amendment with Barton-Aschman

On motion of Director Price, seconded and carried as noted below, Agenda Item No. 7 was approved and the following resolution adopted:

Ayes:	Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom, Patsaouras, Price, Richter, Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	Holen

R-82-219

RESOLVED, that Requisition No. 2-4200-34 is approved, and the General Manager is authorized to execute a contract amendment with Barton-Aschman covering consulting services relating to stations and route alignments for the Metro Rail Project, at a cost not to exceed \$35,000, which project is funded under UMTA and State grants; form of contract amendment subject to approval of the General Counsel.

Agenda Item No. 7 - Cont'd.

Director Takei asked that consideration be given to Route 2 - Santa Monica Boulevard in the projects approved under Agenda Items No. 6 and 7.

Agenda Item No. 8 - Calling of Meeting of City Selection Committee

On motion of Director Swanson, seconded and carried as noted below, Agenda Item No. 8 was approved and the following resolution adopted:

Ayes:	Hall, Lewis, Meseck, Neusom, Patsaouras, Price, Richter, Storing, Swanson, Takei
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	Holen

R-82-220

Resolution calling a meeting of the City Selection Committee and Corridor "B" Sub-Committee on June 3, 1982, for the purpose of election of Director to serve Corridor "B" and to ratify voting strengths.

(Text of complete resolution filed with the Secretary.)

Miscellaneous Discussion

Director Swanson asked staff to make a recommendation regarding the tourist pass. She was advised that the tourist pass was part of the fare package adopted under Agenda Item No. 2. Mr. McDonald explained that staff recommended the retention of the tourist pass because of the 1984 Olympics. Mr. McDonald also commented that there are several procedural matters having to do with the fares that will be brought back to the Board for their consideration.

The meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m.


District Secretary

FARES UNDER PROPOSITION A

-Revised-

Item	Current Price	Proposed Price
REGULAR CASH FARES		
Base Fare	0.85	0.50
Transfers	0.15*	0.10 ^e
Express Increments - each	0.40	0.25
SENIOR CITIZENS AND HANDICAPPED CASH FARES		
Base Fare	0.40	0.20
Transfers	0.05*	0.10 ^e
Express Increments - each	0.20	0.00
STUDENTS (UNDER 19) CASH FARE		
Base Fare	0.65	0.20
Transfers	0.05*	0.10 ^e
Express Increments - each	0.20	0.00
COLLEGE/VOCATIONAL CASH FARE (NEW)		
Base Fare	0.85	0.20
Transfers	0.15*	0.10 ^e
Express Increments - each	0.40	0.00
REGULAR PASS PRICE		
Base Pass	34.00	20.00
Express Stamps - each	12.00	7.00
SENIOR CITIZEN AND HANDICAPPED PASS PRICE		
Base Pass	7.50	4.00
Express Stamps - each	6.00	0.00
STUDENTS (UNDER 19) PASS PRICE		
Base Pass	22.00	4.00
Express Stamps - each	6.00	0.00
COLLEGE/VOCATIONAL PASS PRICE		
Base Pass	26.00	4.00
Express Stamps - each	6.00	0.00

Transfer Notes

*Charge per use - limit 2 uses

^eCharge for multiple uses with one hour limit

	Current Price	Proposed Price	Increme
--	---------------	----------------	---------

SHUTTLE FARES#

202 Downtown	0.25#	0.25#	
205 Westwood	0.10#	0.10#	

#As specified in contract with sponsoring agency.

SPECIAL EVENT SERVICE

609 Los Angeles - Los Alamitos	3.05	2.10	4
610 Los Angeles - Hollywood Park	1.25	0.75	1
614 Los Angeles - Santa Anita	2.05	1.25	3
615 Hollywood - Santa Anita	2.05	1.25	3
499 Los Angeles - Pomona Fair	2.85	1.75	5
499 El Monte - Pomona Fair	2.45	1.50	4
150 San Fernando Valley - Rose Parade	2.05	1.25	3
93 San Fernando Valley - Rose Parade	2.05	1.25	3
483 Los Angeles - Rose Bowl	2.00	1.00	2

Note: Prices tied to the express fare structure (see increments) but are not express fares. No discounts apply. Express stamps have no value towards fare. Line 609 fare includes OCTD off peak base fare. Line 615 fare priced the same as line 614. Line 483 fare rounded to highest half dollar to facilitate change making.

SUBSCRIPTION FARES WILL REMAIN THE SAME

BEEP FARES

Note: These fares are tied to the express fare system. Express passes are used.

Miles From Employment Center	Cash Current	Cash Proposed	Pass Current	Pass Proposed	Express Increments
1-7	.85	.50	34.00	20.00	0
-13	1.25	.75	46.00	27.00	1
-19	1.65	1.00	58.00	34.00	2

One express increment is charged for each six miles of surface operation (or fraction thereof) beyond the initial 7 miles. Transfers are permitted with local lines but all passengers must be traveling to or from the employment center.

MISCELLANEOUS

Tourist Pass - continue at \$2.00 per day

SASD:GEV:3/8/82